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WEBVTT - This file was automatically generated by EVENT.VIDEO
0
00:00:01.765 --> 00:00:03.175
It's 1115 now.
1
00:00:03.175 --> 00:00:04.335
Welcome back everybody.
2
00:00:07.095 --> 00:00:09.475
Before we return to questions,
3
00:00:09.735 --> 00:00:12.195
can I just confirm whether anybody's still having
4
00:00:12.195 --> 00:00:13.635
problems with the internet?
5
00:00:14.455 --> 00:00:16.395
Has everybody been able to get onto it?
6
00:00:17.305 --> 00:00:20.715
Have you spoken to our case team at the back of the room?
7
00:00:20.825 --> 00:00:22.515
They've got access codes
```

```
8
00:00:22.515 --> 00:00:25.075
and so on for the hotel's internet,
9
00:00:25.095 --> 00:00:26.275
so they may be able to help you.
10
00:00:27.925 --> 00:00:28.215
Yeah,
11
00:00:42.985 --> 00:00:43.985
Thank you.
12
00:00:46.835 --> 00:00:49.005
Yeah, It took a bit of doing this morning
```

```
13
00:00:49.065 --> 00:00:51.125
and I kept getting messages in German
14
00:00:51.345 --> 00:00:54.885
for some reason from the hotel. Um, wifi
15
00:00:54.885 --> 00:00:57.445
People, I sometimes get them in French as well, so
16
00:00:58.245 --> 00:00:59.485
I think it's the look of the draw.
17
00:00:59.715 --> 00:01:00.405
It's quite fun.
18
00:01:07.295 --> 00:01:10.305
Okay. If there is anything you'd like
19
00:01:10.305 --> 00:01:13.105
to add once you've been able to call up, um,
20
00:01:13.465 --> 00:01:15.305
anything via the internet, please do let us know.
21
00:01:16.035 --> 00:01:21.025
Thank you. Um, in terms of, um, a time check, I promised
22
00:01:21.175 --> 00:01:22.865
that I would give one at the break.
23
00:01:23.165 --> 00:01:26.985
I'm about a third of the way through the questions I have,
24
00:01:27.045 --> 00:01:28.865
if that's any help
25
00:01:28.965 --> 00:01:32.185
to attendees engaging other commitments.
26
00:01:34.845 --> 00:01:37.585
```

Should we return to questions now? Thank you.

```
2 7
00:01:41.745 --> 00:01:45.455
I'd now like to discuss Water Beach
2 8
00:01:45.555 --> 00:01:48.055
and fend ton construction routes.
29
00:01:51.095 --> 00:01:53.595
The first point is a point of clarification.
30
00:01:56.145 --> 00:01:59.405
If we turn up ES chapter 19,
31
00:02:01.235 --> 00:02:04.985
which is rep three dash 0 22.
32
00:02:32.455 --> 00:02:35.965
Thank you. And go to 4.2 0.2
3 3
00:02:35.965 --> 00:02:40.965
4 4 2 4 4,
34
00:03:01.555 --> 00:03:05.305
Sorry, 4.2 0.244.
35
00:03:45.485 --> 00:03:48.435
Thank you. This makes reference
36
00:03:48.435 --> 00:03:53.115
to a temporary parking restriction on Bal Road Junction
37
00:03:53.385 --> 00:03:56.235
with Denny End Road and Car D**e Lane.
38
00:03:57.805 --> 00:04:00.585
Um, I've scoured all of the maps I can
39
00:04:00.605 --> 00:04:02.425
and can't find a car D**e lane.
```

```
4 0
00:04:03.625 --> 00:04:06.085
Um, can anybody help me with this please?
4 1
00:04:06.305 --> 00:04:11.045
And I imagine this would run through to the,
4 2
00:04:11.145 --> 00:04:14.165
um, the street drawings that have been submitted,
4 3
00:04:14.625 --> 00:04:16.085
the restrictions on streets.
4 4
00:04:17.945 --> 00:04:19.525
Um, Gary Ricks, uh, I think
4 5
00:04:19.525 --> 00:04:20.645
that's just to reference the card.
4 6
00:04:20.645 --> 00:04:22.925
D**e Road. I don't think it should be Cardi Lane.
4 7
00:04:23.345 --> 00:04:25.685
So where is the junction with Denny?
4 8
00:04:25.685 --> 00:04:28.325
End the van, old Road with Card D**e Road.
4 9
00:04:33.565 --> 00:04:36.285
I think it's, it's with the High street, uh, water Beach.
5 0
00:04:49.985 --> 00:04:54.155
Okay, so there's no junction with Bal Road
5 1
00:04:54.155 --> 00:04:56.355
and D**e Road, is that correct?
5 2
00:05:46.705 --> 00:05:49.645
Yes. The, the T junction in, uh, water Beach,
5 3
00:05:50.545 --> 00:05:53.415
```

```
the high street, an old road
54
00:05:53.635 --> 00:05:56.005
and car d**e road.
5 5
00:05:57.735 --> 00:05:58.735
That's where the um,
56
00:05:59.815 --> 00:06:01.435
So that's, isn't that two junctions?
5 7
00:06:01.815 --> 00:06:03.995
No, that's, so it's a, it's a, it's a T junction
5
00:06:04.295 --> 00:06:07.075
and there's three roads that all change,
5 9
00:06:07.075 --> 00:06:08.955
you know, go together at one point.
6 0
00:06:09.255 --> 00:06:11.835
So I think the High Street then goes into is
6 1
00:06:11.835 --> 00:06:13.315
changes its name to D**e.
6 2
00:06:13.375 --> 00:06:16.795
So why, why do we mention D**e Road?
6 3
00:06:19.105 --> 00:06:23.815
We've got a junction of High Street Road
6 4
00:06:23.875 --> 00:06:24.975
and Denny End Road.
6 5
00:06:26.585 --> 00:06:28.655
Where does D**e Road come into this?
6 6
00:06:38.315 --> 00:06:41.105
I think We need to take that one away, just to
```

```
6 7
00:06:41.105 --> 00:06:42.105
Clarify. Okay.
6 8
00:06:42.105 --> 00:06:43.745
There's, there's lots of these things
6 9
00:06:43.745 --> 00:06:45.145
that need to be taken away.
70
00:06:45.525 --> 00:06:50.115
Um, we're now on the fourth revision of the,
7 1
00:06:50.295 --> 00:06:51.355
the transport work.
7 2
00:06:51.455 --> 00:06:53.555
Is, is the fifth revision going
7 3
00:06:53.555 --> 00:06:55.115
to be the final definitive version?
74
00:06:55.935 --> 00:06:57.515
Yes. Thank you.
75
00:07:02.985 --> 00:07:06.655
Throughout the application documentation, um,
7 6
00:07:07.845 --> 00:07:12.445
there's various mentions of construction traffic
7 7
00:07:12.985 --> 00:07:14.405
not going through Hoing Sea,
78
00:07:14.505 --> 00:07:18.805
and there's been an undertaking to people in Hoing scene not
7 9
00:07:18.985 --> 00:07:21.805
to, um, route any traffic through there.
8 0
00:07:21.805 --> 00:07:23.205
```

There's no explanation of why.

```
81
00:07:23.625 --> 00:07:26.775
Um, we've seen in, um,
82
00:07:26.925 --> 00:07:31.295
Cambridge County Council's response to the
```

83
00:07:32.405 --> 00:07:36.985
ex Q1, and this is question 20.39.
84
00:07:39.285 --> 00:07:42.625
The there, um, they say there is no doubt
85
00:07:42.775 --> 00:07:45.745
that there's potential issues associated with the use
86
00:07:45.745 --> 00:07:47.665
of Station Road in Water Beach,
87
00:07:48.525 --> 00:07:51.345
but this is shorter than High Street in Horing Sea
88
00:07:52.005 --> 00:07:54.345
and thus the potential for conflict is reduced.
89
00:07:55.865 --> 00:08:00.795
However, we also have the Station works traffic
90
00:08:01.575 --> 00:08:02.715
and Newtown traffic,
91
00:08:04.295 --> 00:08:08.355
and looking at the cumulative effects of that, there seems
92
00:08:08.355 --> 00:08:10.715
to be an awful lot going on in Water Beach.
93
00:08:12.855 --> 00:08:17.075
Why is the, why was an undertaking given, undertaking given

```
94
00:08:17.255 --> 00:08:21.435
to Horing Sea as a village that nothing would go through
95
00:08:22.385 --> 00:08:25.965
the Village, and why is all
96
00:08:25.965 --> 00:08:27.925
of the impact going to Water Beach?
97
00:08:42.655 --> 00:08:45.655
I think the, uh, the, the Horing Sea commitment has been a
98
00:08:45.655 --> 00:08:47.415
longstanding commitment from the applicant just to,
99
00:08:47.795 --> 00:08:50.095
to not put, put traffic through Hoing Sea
100
00:08:50.095 --> 00:08:53.455
and direct it from, uh, and direct it via another route.
101
00:08:54.575 --> 00:08:57.245
Uh, from an assessment per point of view.
102
00:08:57.735 --> 00:09:01.885
We've looked at the, uh, yet busiest traffic routes through,
103
00:09:02.385 --> 00:09:05.525
uh, through Water Beach, which is Road and Deanne Road,
104
00:09:06.025 --> 00:09:09.285
and tested those for the busiest, uh, busiest, uh, times,
105
00:09:09.545 --> 00:09:12.305
um, the bus track, the busiest peak times,
106
00:09:12.325 --> 00:09:15.505
and then set out again, the CTMP measures to, to mitigate
1 0 7
00:09:15.505 --> 00:09:17.505
```

```
that from a cumulative point of view.
108
00:09:17.505 --> 00:09:21.705
We've also looked at, um, construction, uh, of Water Beach,
1 0 9
00:09:21.705 --> 00:09:24.305
Newtown construction of a railway station, uh,
1 1 0
00:09:24.305 --> 00:09:25.705
of the Water Beach railway station,
1 1 1
00:09:26.085 --> 00:09:28.745
and again, reviewed, uh, traffic volumes from construction,
1 1 2
00:09:28.765 --> 00:09:31.625
uh, and again, set out from a, from A-C-T-M-P point of view,
1 1 3
00:09:31.645 --> 00:09:34.185
how those view, how we believe those could be best, uh,
114
00:09:34.295 --> 00:09:37.585
mitigated, um, from a, from a traffic, uh,
1 1 5
00:09:37.585 --> 00:09:39.585
from a traffic impact point of view on Water Beach.
116
00:09:40.395 --> 00:09:43.465
Thank you. So when approximately was that commitment made?
117
00:09:45.065 --> 00:09:47.825
I believe that was made at, um, statutory consultation two
1 1 8
00:09:50.075 --> 00:09:51.975
And the approximate date of that,
119
00:09:59.625 --> 00:10:00.585
I think we'll have to come back and
120
00:10:00.585 --> 00:10:01.785
confirm that date. Well,
```

121
00:10:01.915 --> 00:10:02.915
Thank you.
122
00:10:03.805 --> 00:10:06.265
Why was that commitment made to people in Hoey?
123
00:10:29.025 --> 00:10:31.365
So we'll come back on that one if we may.
124
00:10:33.375 --> 00:10:36.935
I would've thought it was something that you would know
125
00:10:37.795 --> 00:10:39.815
now because it is something that runs through all
126
00:10:39.815 --> 00:10:41.135
of the application documents.
127
00:10:45.095 --> 00:10:49.065
Well, sir, it's certainly not something that I know, uh,
128
00:10:50.335 --> 00:10:54.915
and I I think the person whom we need to speak
129
00:10:54.935 --> 00:10:56.275
to is probably Mr.
130
00:10:56.495 --> 00:10:59.155
Calley, uh, about that. Uh, Mr.
131
00:10:59.695 --> 00:11:03.725
Calley I know is, um, happened to know he's meeting
132
00:11:03.845 --> 00:11:05.125
with Holmes England at the moment.
133
00:11:05.695 --> 00:11:07.765
Thank you, uh, on an important meeting.
134
00:11:08.025 --> 00:11:12.525

```
But, um, so he, he is the person that I would want
135
00:11:12.525 --> 00:11:16.165
to take instructions from on that and, and he is otherwise
136
00:11:16.225 --> 00:11:17.765
and very importantly occupied.
137
00:11:17.925 --> 00:11:19.045
I, I apologize sir.
138
00:11:19.545 --> 00:11:23.765
Um, for, for my, um, inability to answer that question.
1 3 9
00:11:24.095 --> 00:11:24.565
Thank you.
140
00:11:29.105 --> 00:11:32.115
Just following on from that point then, albeit the,
1 4 1
00:11:32.255 --> 00:11:36.075
the route have been tested to make sure that, um,
142
00:11:37.725 --> 00:11:39.815
they, they can accommodate the traffic.
143
00:11:41.895 --> 00:11:46.065
Does the applicant have a view on the effect
144
00:11:46.985 --> 00:11:50.825
cumulatively of intense
145
00:11:52.125 --> 00:11:55.135
traffic from three different construction works
146
00:11:56.275 --> 00:11:58.535
on people in Water Beach?
147
00:12:02.115 --> 00:12:03.655
Uh, yeah, Gavin Wicks for the applicant?
```

```
148
00:12:03.675 --> 00:12:06.895
Um, yeah, I think that was, uh, my previous answer was
149
00:12:06.895 --> 00:12:09.895
that yeah, we've, we've looked at the, the, the
1 5 0
00:12:10.495 --> 00:12:12.855
concentrated volumes of the three developments, uh,
151
00:12:12.915 --> 00:12:15.695
and looked at the traffic flows associated with that, um,
152
00:12:16.355 --> 00:12:18.895
and determined that yes, should, that, should all of those,
153
00:12:19.195 --> 00:12:22.215
um, those developments happen, uh, continue, uh,
154
00:12:22.355 --> 00:12:25.135
at the same time then there would be a significant,
155
00:12:25.135 --> 00:12:26.695
we believe there would be a significant effect.
156
00:12:26.695 --> 00:12:31.215
And that's why the C, the CTMP mitigation, we believe will,
1 5 7
00:12:31.245 --> 00:12:33.175
will, uh, will mitigate those effects
158
00:12:33.195 --> 00:12:35.695
and allow the traffic, uh, you know, the, the all parties,
159
00:12:36.355 --> 00:12:39.815
um, who are active as developers in that area will be part
160
00:12:39.815 --> 00:12:41.575
of the construction forum, which is a, a part
1 6 1
00:12:41.575 --> 00:12:42.695
```

of the, the CTMP.

162
00:12:42.995 --> 00:12:44.775
Um, and that will mitigate those effects.
163
00:12:44.995 --> 00:12:47.295
And that would be water $B$ to Parish council.

164
00:12:47.345 --> 00:12:49.775
Would it be Hoing Sea Parish Council, parish Council

165
00:12:49.915 --> 00:12:51.735
as well in the, the forum?
166
00:12:52.355 --> 00:12:53.655
Uh, I believe, yeah, we, um,
167
00:12:53.735 --> 00:12:55.415
I don't think the forum's defined as yet,
168
00:12:55.415 --> 00:12:57.055
but the intention is that all key

169
00:12:57.055 --> 00:12:58.295
stakeholders would be part of that.
170
00:12:59.295 --> 00:13:01.925
Could the effect be less if traffic was shared
171
00:13:01.925 $\rightarrow$-> 00:13:04.005
between Water Beach and Hoey?
172
00:13:07.455 --> 00:13:09.915
Um, I, it's not something we've looked at.

173
00:13:09.915 --> 00:13:11.955
Like I said, all of our folks has been on, on,
174
00:13:12.335 --> 00:13:14.075
on directing traffic through Water Beach.

175
00:13:14.135 --> 00:13:16.515
Um, so we could, uh, that's a point I think we'd need
176
00:13:16.515 --> 00:13:18.195
to have a take away and, and have a look at.
177
00:13:18.215 --> 00:13:21.035
But I I I, I agree it probably could be less
178
00:13:21.035 --> 00:13:22.115
if it was split between the two.
179
00:13:22.745 --> 00:13:24.835
This is what I'm particularly curious about,
180
00:13:24.905 --> 00:13:26.475
that you just haven't looked at it.
181
00:13:26.735 --> 00:13:30.075
Why, can you come back with the answer of why
182
00:13:30.695 --> 00:13:33.435
you just didn't look at, um, other routes
183
00:13:33.435 --> 00:13:34.515
and you've ruled them out?
184
00:13:36.015 --> 00:13:37.555
Uh, yes. We'll, let's say yes.
185
00:13:37.555 --> 00:13:38.715
We'll take a look at that and come back.
186
00:13:51.135 --> 00:13:53.625
Turning now to a comment
187
00:13:53.655 --> 00:13:56.185
that was made in Document Rep two
188
00:13:57.215 --> 00:13:59.185

```
dash 0 6 3,
189
00:14:00.775 --> 00:14:04.525
which was Save Honey Hill's comments on the applicant's
190
00:14:04.765 --> 00:14:08.065
responses to execute
191
00:14:08.135 --> 00:14:10.065
what execute one, I beg your pardon.
192
00:14:12.255 --> 00:14:13.975
They've stated
193
00:14:14.085 --> 00:14:17.095
that when the strategic route network is not available,
194
00:14:17.855 --> 00:14:20.615
construction vehicles would then travel on local side roads
195
00:14:20.615 --> 00:14:21.935
to reach their destination.
196
00:14:23.155 --> 00:14:28.135
Um, and that, that implies that any incidents on the A 14
197
00:14:28.635 --> 00:14:32.495
and or the A 10, which are they say currently frequent
198
00:14:32.635 --> 00:14:36.455
and problematic would necessitate construction traffic
199
00:14:36.545 --> 00:14:38.175
using local roads.
200
00:14:39.795 --> 00:14:41.495
What's the applicant's position on that,
201
00:14:46.725 --> 00:14:48.025
Uh, Gavin Wicks for the applicant?
```

```
202
00:14:48.045 --> 00:14:50.945
Um, I think the, the CT MP's quite clear that the
203
00:14:51.805 --> 00:14:54.285
construction routes, um, are, are set out for,
204
00:14:54.585 --> 00:14:56.205
for construction vehicles, uh,
205
00:14:56.225 --> 00:14:58.685
and they will, they will stick to those construction routes.
206
00:14:59.005 --> 00:15:01.125
I think in event of emergency, uh, again,
207
00:15:01.125 --> 00:15:05.005
it's a detailed point that will be iron out in the CTMP, um,
208
00:15:05.145 --> 00:15:07.485
but traffic could be held on site, uh,
209
00:15:07.485 --> 00:15:09.885
and so won't need to use local routes.
210
00:15:09.945 --> 00:15:12.045
Uh, if there's an, if there's an emergency event on
211
00:15:12.045 --> 00:15:13.085
the strategic own network,
212
00:15:14.865 --> 00:15:19.425
If, for example, there was a, a short term closure
213
00:15:19.565 --> 00:15:23.265
for a number of days, would that still hold good
214
00:15:23.295 --> 00:15:25.505
because of course the time is money
215
00:15:25.525 --> 00:15:26.665
```

```
in construction, isn't it?
216
00:15:26.885 --> 00:15:29.465
And the more time that, um,
217
00:15:29.985 --> 00:15:32.105
construction's held up, the more it costs.
218
00:15:33.125 --> 00:15:37.265
Um, what would be the situation if say, um,
219
00:15:38.305 --> 00:15:41.135
there was an accident which necessitated the closure
220
00:15:41.795 --> 00:15:44.095
of the A 10 for two or three days?
221
00:15:51.535 --> 00:15:54.015
I think for, uh, the A 10 specifically, we have,
222
00:15:54.075 --> 00:15:56.175
that's the Water Beach Pipeline construction routes,
223
00:15:56.175 --> 00:15:59.015
and they're quite low flow, uh, quite low, uh, numbers
224
00:15:59.015 --> 00:16:00.855
of vehicles accessing those particular sites.
225
00:16:01.155 --> 00:16:02.975
Um, so I don't believe it would have a significant
226
00:16:02.995 --> 00:16:04.335
impact on the construction program.
227
00:16:05.505 --> 00:16:10.445
You would still hold good to the, um, construction routes
228
00:16:10.445 --> 00:16:13.645
that are set out in con in the application documentation?
```

```
229
00:16:13.985 --> 00:16:15.045
Yes, I believe so. Yeah,
2 3 0
00:16:15.355 --> 00:16:16.565
Well believe so.
2 3 1
00:16:16.585 --> 00:16:18.365
How, how can we be certain about that?
2 3 2
00:16:19.585 --> 00:16:20.645
Uh, yeah, sorry.
2 3 3
00:16:20.785 --> 00:16:22.685
Yes, we would hold to the construction routes, yes.
2 3 4
00:16:22.815 --> 00:16:25.685
Right. Thank you. Um, save Honey Hill,
2 3 5
00:16:25.685 --> 00:16:27.245
that was one of your points.
236
00:16:27.385 --> 00:16:28.805
Is there anything else you'd like
237
00:16:28.885 --> 00:16:30.325
to follow up with me on that?
2 3 8
00:16:36.415 --> 00:16:38.715
Yes, sir. Um, Ian Gilda, save Honey Hill.
2 3 9
00:16:39.015 --> 00:16:41.395
Um, I think the point that was being made, sir, is
240
00:16:41.745 --> 00:16:45.875
that clearly there are both sort of short term emergencies
241
00:16:45.875 --> 00:16:48.475
that arise from things like road accidents.
242
00:16:48.735 --> 00:16:51.475
```

```
Um, usually that's just a matter of holding traffic up.
2 4 3
00:16:51.815 --> 00:16:56.075
Um, and obviously probably is addressable through,
244
00:16:56.385 --> 00:16:59.835
through A-C-T-M-P measure, such as, um, holding,
245
00:16:59.865 --> 00:17:01.315
holding vehicles on site
246
00:17:01.315 --> 00:17:02.915
and not feeding them back onto the network,
247
00:17:03.535 --> 00:17:04.755
um, for an hour or two.
248
00:17:05.375 --> 00:17:09.075
Um, I think the points that we were making were also around
249
00:17:10.305 --> 00:17:13.195
significant roadworks, for example, on either of those
250
00:17:13.915 --> 00:17:18.035
strategic road networks, which are leading to, you know,
251
00:17:18.385 --> 00:17:19.435
excessive delay.
252
00:17:19.735 --> 00:17:21.735
Um, and clearly that's a matter
253
00:17:21.735 --> 00:17:23.735
where under normal circumstances, um,
254
00:17:26.225 --> 00:17:28.325
HT V drivers make their own decisions and,
255
00:17:28.325 --> 00:17:32.645
and reroute, um, using local road network to, to escape,
```

```
256
00:17:33.185 --> 00:17:34.445
um, delays.
257
00:17:35.065 --> 00:17:36.645
And it's not clear to me sir,
258
00:17:36.905 --> 00:17:41.045
or to SHH as to what the sort of controls will be in
2 5 9
00:17:41.045 --> 00:17:42.285
that sort of circumstance
260
00:17:43.985 --> 00:17:44.995
Back to the applicant.
261
00:17:45.085 --> 00:17:47.995
Would that be covered by the, the proposed routing?
262
00:17:48.415 --> 00:17:50.405
Uh, yeah. Uh, Gavin Wick for the applicant? Yes.
263
00:17:50.505 --> 00:17:52.125
Uh, yes, the proposed routes, uh,
264
00:17:52.125 --> 00:17:54.685
in the CT MP there's a series of measures, one of which is,
2 6 5
00:17:54.785 --> 00:17:58.045
um, auto automatic number, blade recognition cameras, uh,
266
00:17:58.045 --> 00:17:59.885
which will monitor, um,
267
00:18:00.145 --> 00:18:01.765
the applicant's construction vehicles.
268
00:18:01.905 --> 00:18:03.445
Uh, that will then be reported back
2 6 9
00:18:03.445 --> 00:18:04.965
```

```
through the construction.
270
00:18:05.265 --> 00:18:08.165
Uh, the mechanism in the construction transform management
2 7 1
00:18:08.165 --> 00:18:11.725
plan, the construction forum, um, which will be, uh,
2 7 2
00:18:12.285 --> 00:18:14.045
reported back to the local highway authorities
273
00:18:14.065 --> 00:18:17.485
and which that will show what the construction vehicles been
274
00:18:17.485 --> 00:18:18.885
doing, what breaches there are.
2 7 5
00:18:18.905 --> 00:18:23.045
And then that process allows for, um, any, any kind of, uh,
276
00:18:23.045 --> 00:18:25.005
enforcement, any kind of enforcement, uh,
277
00:18:25.065 --> 00:18:26.525
to be carried out through that group.
278
00:18:27.025 --> 00:18:28.605
And there's also the possibility
2 7 9
00:18:28.605 --> 00:18:32.805
that the local community could, um, report any breaches
280
00:18:32.805 --> 00:18:35.685
of those, um, those measures. I, I suppose,
281
00:18:36.185 --> 00:18:39.005
Uh, yes, that's part of, uh, the, um, uh,
282
00:18:39.005 --> 00:18:40.765
the measures is also, uh, there'll be a channel
```

```
2 8 3
00:18:40.765 --> 00:18:43.405
for local communities to report, um, uh,
284
00:18:43.425 --> 00:18:45.085
any any transgressions.
2 8 5
00:18:45.265 --> 00:18:47.645
And how would that be, um, secured?
286
00:18:47.645 --> 00:18:49.645
Is that through the community liaison plan?
287
00:18:49.785 --> 00:18:52.445
Uh, there's the, yeah, so the, the CTMP secured through,
288
00:18:52.505 --> 00:18:56.805
um, uh, requirement nine, uh, has the, uh, the, the,
2 8 9
00:18:56.825 --> 00:18:58.765
the traffic, the CTMP, the traffic management measures,
290
00:18:58.765 --> 00:19:01.365
and then the Community Liaison plan sets out, uh,
291
00:19:01.425 --> 00:19:03.885
the complaints procedure and the, um, and,
292
00:19:03.885 --> 00:19:05.965
and how that will follow through to the CTMP.
2 9 3
00:19:06.735 --> 00:19:11.045
Thank you. Over to Cambridge County Council, please,
294
00:19:11.265 --> 00:19:13.085
and to National Highways.
295
00:19:14.115 --> 00:19:16.045
Obviously you dunno everything
296
00:19:16.045 --> 00:19:17.085
```

```
that will happen in the future.
297
00:19:17.085 --> 00:19:20.165
Nobody can, but do you have any, um,
298
00:19:20.615 --> 00:19:23.485
major planned works on the A 10 or the A 14?
2 9 9
00:19:24.265 --> 00:19:26.525
I'm imagining you may have to, to check
300
00:19:26.525 --> 00:19:28.085
with colleagues and come back to us.
301
00:19:34.045 --> 00:19:35.675
David Ufford c to county Council?
302
00:19:36.095 --> 00:19:37.915
Um, yes, I, I I can go away
3 0 3
00:19:37.915 --> 00:19:42.075
and then, um, uh, help providing the written submission
304
00:19:42.075 --> 00:19:43.675
that the, the, the planned works,
305
00:19:43.695 --> 00:19:45.235
but there are a lot of works associated
306
00:19:45.305 --> 00:19:48.715
with the water speech development, um, thank you in
307
00:19:48.715 --> 00:19:51.315
that area that, that is associated with the A 10 as well.
308
00:19:52.525 --> 00:19:54.835
Thank you. And National Highways.
309
00:19:55.965 --> 00:19:58.195
Thank you, sir. Sarah Marshall for National Highways.
```

310
00:19:58.495 --> 00:20:01.795
Uh, I understand from clients no major, uh,
311
00:20:01.805 --> 00:20:04.675
works are planned in the RIS for the
312
00:20:05.955 --> 00:20:07.955
Strategic Road Network here. Thank you, sir.
313
00:20:08.405 --> 00:20:10.755
Thank you. And did we have somebody with a hand up?
314
00:20:14.655 --> 00:20:17.315
No, I think it's, oh, Mr. Gilda. Sorry,
315
00:20:18.365 --> 00:20:19.365
Sorry sir. Um,
316
00:20:19.365 --> 00:20:21.795
yes, Ian Gilda for Save Honey Hill.
317
00:20:22.135 --> 00:20:25.515
Um, I think it's a matter which we have raised before.
318
00:20:25.615 --> 00:20:29.475
So in relation to reporting of breaches of, um,
319
00:20:30.595 --> 00:20:33.475
HGV routing, um, it's clearly not acceptable
320
00:20:33.535 --> 00:20:37.995
or reasonable to expect the sort of fallback position to be
321
00:20:37.995 --> 00:20:41.195
that local, local individuals are expected
322
00:20:41.255 --> 00:20:42.435
to report breaches.
323
00:20:42.635 --> 00:20:43.995

I mean, clearly we,
324
00:20:44.055 --> 00:20:46.715
we would welcome the circumstance in which if we do, um,
325
00:20:46.985 --> 00:20:50.965
report any issues, um, they are addressed by the applicant
326
00:20:50.995 --> 00:20:52.925
through the CTMP in the forum.
327
00:20:53.465 --> 00:20:57.005
Um, but I think any, any sense that there needs
328
00:20:57.005 --> 00:21:01.405
to be a reliance on local reporting of, of breaches
329
00:21:01.425 --> 00:21:04.205
of HGV arrangements isn't satisfactory.
330
00:21:04.365 --> 00:21:06.165
I mean, people are not standing
331
00:21:06.165 --> 00:21:07.725
around in Hing Sea High Street
332
00:21:07.825 --> 00:21:10.525
or in Station Road, in Water Beach
333
00:21:10.625 --> 00:21:13.605
or in other parts of the non-strategic network.
334
00:21:14.225 --> 00:21:16.645
You'll even necessarily be aware, um,
335
00:21:16.835 --> 00:21:18.525
that those breaches are taking place.
336
00:21:19.105 --> 00:21:20.105
Um,

```
337
00:21:20.825 --> 00:21:24.285
Are you, um, satisfied with the
338
00:21:25.215 --> 00:21:29.445
mechanisms set out in the application documentation
3 3 9
00:21:29.665 --> 00:21:33.005
for monitoring and that they will be sufficient
340
00:21:33.105 --> 00:21:35.125
to remedy any breaches?
341
00:21:38.005 --> 00:21:41.375
I mean, clearly, so that as you'll know, that, I mean,
342
00:21:41.585 --> 00:21:44.455
these tend to be after the event forms of, of,
343
00:21:44.555 --> 00:21:45.735
of enforcement.
344
00:21:45.895 --> 00:21:48.495
I mean, clearly if a breach takes place
345
00:21:48.515 --> 00:21:51.015
and it's picked up by the APRs, um,
346
00:21:51.595 --> 00:21:54.495
and is then reported to the forum, clearly there's a,
347
00:21:54.735 --> 00:21:56.975
a question in our mind about the extent
348
00:21:56.975 --> 00:21:58.895
to which there will then be any
349
00:21:59.475 --> 00:22:01.575
action taken against the Holers
350
00:22:01.635 --> 00:22:05.655
```

```
or anybody else involved in the process, um, in order to
351
00:22:07.405 --> 00:22:09.095
make sure that it doesn't happen again.
352
00:22:09.475 --> 00:22:12.175
Um, clearly it's unsatisfactory if it happens at all,
3 5 3
00:22:12.175 --> 00:22:14.455
because it can't, in a sense, be made good
354
00:22:15.675 --> 00:22:19.335
by a future commitment to not breaching those arrangements.
355
00:22:19.835 --> 00:22:21.415
So clearly we're concerned about that.
356
00:22:21.575 --> 00:22:23.535
I think we're also concerned,
357
00:22:23.535 --> 00:22:25.095
and you mentioned it sir minute
358
00:22:25.095 --> 00:22:28.895
or two ago in relation to Haunting Sea, that
359
00:22:29.485 --> 00:22:32.575
certainly the implementation of the CTMP
360
00:22:32.575 --> 00:22:36.175
and this forum, I think should include representatives from
361
00:22:36.325 --> 00:22:39.255
Hing Sea Community by the Parish Council
362
00:22:39.355 --> 00:22:41.055
or another organization.
363
00:22:41.555 --> 00:22:45.135
Um, 'cause while clearly a significant part
```

```
364
00:22:45.135 --> 00:22:46.935
of ING Sea Parish is used
365
00:22:46.935 --> 00:22:49.415
as construction routes in any event, um,
366
00:22:49.675 --> 00:22:52.615
but also clearly there are all these matters
367
00:22:52.615 --> 00:22:54.735
around rerouting, um, and,
368
00:22:54.835 --> 00:22:59.535
and possible breaches, which we feel do need to be
369
00:23:00.265 --> 00:23:03.775
taken into account as part of that, that monitoring process.
370
00:23:04.395 --> 00:23:05.665
Um, and,
3 7 1
00:23:05.685 --> 00:23:09.625
and that, that that monitoring process is, is transparent
372
00:23:09.885 --> 00:23:12.985
and involves local community, preferably through membership
3 7 3
00:23:12.985 --> 00:23:16.465
of that group, not just through publication
374
00:23:16.485 --> 00:23:18.625
of occasional minutes of a forum meeting
375
00:23:20.005 --> 00:23:21.225
on a website somewhere,
376
00:23:22.825 --> 00:23:27.225
Bearing in mind the need for any response
3 7 7
00:23:27.365 --> 00:23:29.145
```

```
to breaches to be proportionate
3 7 8
00:23:29.805 --> 00:23:33.865
and that there may well be a mistake made by, made
379
00:23:33.965 --> 00:23:37.225
by a driver or an emergency that they have to avoid.
380
00:23:38.925 --> 00:23:41.585
How would you do things differently?
381
00:23:45.785 --> 00:23:48.085
I'm, I'm not particularly concerned, sir, about
382
00:23:48.725 --> 00:23:51.125
occasional, you know, the very occasional
383
00:23:51.855 --> 00:23:55.205
rogue glory driver, if I call it that, um, who, who
384
00:23:56.685 --> 00:23:57.805
mistakenly takes a route.
385
00:23:57.925 --> 00:24:00.925
I think what we're, what we're obviously concerned about is
386
00:24:00.925 --> 00:24:04.885
if there's any connivance between, um, the applicant
387
00:24:05.105 --> 00:24:09.405
and those undertaking the construction contract that
388
00:24:09.985 --> 00:24:12.845
allows there to be either repeated breaches of, of,
389
00:24:13.025 --> 00:24:14.805
of those arrangements.
390
00:24:15.345 --> 00:24:17.045
Um, I'm aware
```

```
391
00:24:17.045 --> 00:24:19.725
because I've worked on other very large infrastructure
3 9 2
00:24:19.725 --> 00:24:20.845
projects, HSS two
3 9 3
00:24:20.845 --> 00:24:24.045
and so on, um, that, you know, we've had very,
394
00:24:24.045 --> 00:24:28.365
very stringent arrangements contractually, um, with all
395
00:24:30.365 --> 00:24:31.915
involved project.
396
00:24:35.295 --> 00:24:38.125
There are limiting to which you've got third party suppliers
397
00:24:38.285 --> 00:24:40.525
bringing materials to site so on, um,
398
00:24:40.615 --> 00:24:43.365
which aren't always covered by the primary
399
00:24:43.885 --> 00:24:45.765
contract arrangements that are made with the,
4 0 0
00:24:45.945 --> 00:24:47.005
the principal contractor
4 0 1
00:24:47.065 --> 00:24:49.965
and through them to groundworks contractors, for example.
4 0 2
00:24:50.545 --> 00:24:53.965
Um, and it, it's clearly a matter of, you know,
4 0 3
00:24:54.635 --> 00:24:56.685
good professional practice and it's quite difficult.
4 0 4
00:24:57.185 --> 00:25:00.565
```

The words and the CTMP will be helpful and,

```
4 0 5
00:25:00.625 --> 00:25:03.485
and clearly a commitment on behalf of the applicant
4 0 6
00:25:03.485 --> 00:25:07.125
that they will, um, enforce breaches against, um,
4 0 7
00:25:08.235 --> 00:25:11.605
against, um, suppliers who, who misbehave.
4 0 8
00:25:11.825 --> 00:25:14.725
Um, it's probably as far as we can get in, in documentation.
4 0 9
00:25:15.005 --> 00:25:16.205
I accept that. Thank
4 1 0
00:25:16.205 --> 00:25:17.205
You. Well, I'll come back to
4 1 1
00:25:17.205 --> 00:25:18.125
the applicant in a minute,
4 1 2
00:25:18.185 --> 00:25:21.765
but could I invite some views from Cambridge County Council
4 1 3
00:25:21.765 --> 00:25:23.365
because they are the relevant authority
4 1 4
00:25:23.555 --> 00:25:25.525
that would be enforcing this
4 1 5
00:25:30.715 --> 00:25:32.385
David Cambridge County Council?
4 1 6
00:25:32.925 --> 00:25:37.875
Um, yes, we, We've had, um,
4 1 7
00:25:38.555 --> 00:25:40.755
previously some comments on the CTMP,
```

```
4 1 8
00:25:40.815 --> 00:25:44.035
but we believe that it's, uh, it,
4 1 9
00:25:44.135 --> 00:25:45.715
it it's containing the outline.
4 2 0
00:25:45.875 --> 00:25:49.075
CT MP is, is containing, uh, the, the,
4 2 1
00:25:49.255 --> 00:25:50.675
the framework that's required.
422
00:25:51.295 --> 00:25:55.565
Um, I think, I think we're on matters of, uh, of,
4 2 3
00:25:55.665 --> 00:25:59.165
of detail within it, within it for the controls, um,
4 2 4
00:25:59.425 --> 00:26:04.205
and to assure have the, in ensure that, uh,
4 2 5
00:26:04.595 --> 00:26:08.365
when as described, uh, there are instances where
426
00:26:08.875 --> 00:26:11.125
traffic's not following the route that the,
4 2 7
00:26:11.275 --> 00:26:14.445
that action is taken, uh, that it's transparent and,
4 2 8
00:26:14.505 --> 00:26:17.765
and parties like ourselves have, have sight of, uh,
4 2 9
00:26:17.785 --> 00:26:19.125
the monitoring, et cetera.
4 3 0
00:26:19.225 --> 00:26:22.325
So, and I think, uh, we'll continue discussions with the,
4 3 1
00:26:22.425 --> 00:26:25.365
```

```
the applicants on that to, um, uh,
4 3 2
00:26:25.865 --> 00:26:28.045
ensure the CTMP has all those measures.
4 3 3
00:26:28.355 --> 00:26:30.765
This is one of the things that, um, we'd like you
4 3 4
00:26:30.765 --> 00:26:34.045
to address in the table I mentioned earlier in relation
4 3 5
00:26:34.065 --> 00:26:38.645
to mitigation plans that you are happy, it'll be clear
436
00:26:38.755 --> 00:26:40.445
what you need to put in that table,
4 3 7
00:26:40.585 --> 00:26:44.325
but that you are happy as an authority that you've got the,
4 3 8
00:26:44.905 --> 00:26:48.805
um, the mechanisms to enforce where necessary,
4 3 9
00:26:49.665 --> 00:26:51.365
um, applicant.
440
00:26:51.415 --> 00:26:55.205
Could I ask you whether you have any reflections on what Mr.
4 4 1
00:26:55.355 --> 00:26:57.885
Gild has said, whether there's any way you can
442
00:26:58.925 --> 00:27:00.045
strengthen your commitment
4 4 3
00:27:00.665 --> 00:27:03.925
and, um, whether you have any other comments on, um,
444
00:27:04.385 --> 00:27:05.965
enforceability and so on?
```

```
4 4 5
00:27:08.675 --> 00:27:11.235
I, I think Mr. May wishes to,
4 4 6
00:27:11.335 --> 00:27:14.315
but sorry, um, Ms. Marshall had her hand up.
4 4 7
00:27:14.315 --> 00:27:15.835
Shall we take the National Highways?
448
00:27:15.895 --> 00:27:16.915
Yes, of course. Yes, point first,
4 4 9
00:27:16.975 --> 00:27:18.635
and you can wrap everything up afterwards.
4 5 0
00:27:19.325 --> 00:27:21.955
Thank you, sir. Sarah Marshall for National Highways.
4 5 1
00:27:22.455 --> 00:27:26.835
Um, there may be, I'm just looking at, um,
4 5 2
00:27:29.695 --> 00:27:33.645
there may be short term maintenance on the strategic road
4 5 3
00:27:33.645 --> 00:27:36.165
network with strategic diversions.
4 5 4
00:27:37.105 --> 00:27:39.405
Um, it's just been confirmed to me
4 5 5
00:27:39.405 --> 00:27:42.565
that these diversions would be nighttime only.
4 5 6
00:27:43.025 --> 00:27:47.125
Um, anything off the a 14 junction 33
4 5 7
00:27:47.125 --> 00:27:50.645
and Junction 35 would impact the access off the
4 5 8
00:27:51.275 --> 00:27:55.125
```

```
eastbound a 14 junction 34 collaboration
4 5 9
00:27:55.125 --> 00:27:56.885
of access requirements would be implemented
4 6 0
00:27:57.225 --> 00:27:59.765
to mitigate any access issues.
4 6 1
00:28:00.225 --> 00:28:01.225
Um,
4 6 2
00:28:02.005 --> 00:28:03.345
And presumably that would be dealt with
4 6 3
00:28:03.345 --> 00:28:04.425
through your booking team
4 6 4
00:28:04.965 --> 00:28:06.705
And yes, you've, you've, yes.
4 6 5
00:28:06.765 --> 00:28:10.265
You, you've, yes. Um, so it's night,
4 6 6
00:28:10.265 --> 00:28:12.705
generally nighttime only closures
4 6 7
00:28:13.245 --> 00:28:14.785
unless there is a major incident,
4 6 8
00:28:14.885 --> 00:28:17.425
but they are obviously by the nature unpredictable.
4 6 9
00:28:17.675 --> 00:28:18.985
Thank you. Thank you, sir.
4 7 0
00:28:19.375 --> 00:28:22.745
Back to the applicant. Thank you, sir.
4 7 1
00:28:22.745 --> 00:28:24.465
Paul, may for the applicant, I think it's just worth
```

```
4 7 2
00:28:24.995 --> 00:28:27.825
reflecting on how these measures secured through the DCO
4 7 3
00:28:27.825 --> 00:28:29.425
and the consequences of non-compliance.
4 7 4
00:28:30.125 --> 00:28:34.825
Um, firstly, the, the, the CTMP, uh,
4 7 5
00:28:34.825 --> 00:28:36.625
that's submitted at the moment is an outline,
476
00:28:36.625 --> 00:28:39.905
which is referred to in requirement nine as forming, uh,
4 7 7
00:28:39.905 --> 00:28:43.705
as pulling part of the, um, one of the documents to, uh,
4 7 8
00:28:43.845 --> 00:28:46.425
to be referred to in, uh, in the Kemp.
4 7 9
00:28:47.255 --> 00:28:50.305
That in due course will be developed into a detailed
4 8 0
00:28:51.145 --> 00:28:53.665
CTMP on a phase by phase basis.
4 8 1
00:28:54.045 --> 00:28:58.945
And so some of the, uh, the precise measures relating
4 8 2
00:28:58.945 --> 00:29:03.025
to specific areas and specific sections of work, uh,
4 8 3
00:29:03.245 --> 00:29:07.705
can clearly be picked up in, uh, those detailed plans, uh,
4 8 4
00:29:07.765 --> 00:29:09.305
for each phase as and when, uh,
4 8 5
00:29:09.305 --> 00:29:10.425
```

```
as and when they come forward.
4 8 6
00:29:11.165 --> 00:29:15.465
And, and, and secondly, this isn't some, um, sort of
4 8 7
00:29:16.015 --> 00:29:17.905
throwaway plan that we're putting in here.
4 8 8
00:29:18.245 --> 00:29:21.065
The, the, the consequences of not complying
4 8 9
00:29:21.295 --> 00:29:23.345
with the plan would be a breach of the order
4 9 0
00:29:23.725 --> 00:29:25.665
and a breach of the order is a criminal offense.
4 9 1
00:29:25.805 --> 00:29:29.665
So there is an onus certainly on, uh, the applicants
4 9 2
00:29:29.665 --> 00:29:32.665
and developers of schemes to ensure, uh, as,
4 9 3
00:29:32.765 --> 00:29:36.945
as best they can, uh, that their contractors comply with,
4 9 4
00:29:37.085 --> 00:29:38.425
uh, with its requirements.
4 9 5
00:29:39.645 --> 00:29:42.485
And that point about being a criminal offense, does
4 9 6
00:29:42.485 --> 00:29:46.005
that apply only to what's on the face of the order
4 9 7
00:29:46.105 --> 00:29:49.205
or what sits behind it in the certified documents?
4 9 8
00:29:49.835 --> 00:29:53.245
Well, if there is an obligation to comply with a document
```

```
4 9 9
00:29:53.585 --> 00:29:56.525
that's submitted under the terms of the order, a failure
500
00:29:56.625 --> 00:29:59.805
to comply with effectively a requirement
501
00:30:00.335 --> 00:30:03.525
would fall within the same, um, uh,
502
00:30:03.665 --> 00:30:05.165
the same ambit as a breach of an order.
503
00:30:05.495 --> 00:30:05.925
Thank you,
504
00:30:14.325 --> 00:30:15.325
Sir. I'd just like
505
00:30:15.325 --> 00:30:16.785
to come in here as well to
506
00:30:17.565 --> 00:30:21.705
refute the suggestion that's been made of connivance,
507
00:30:22.405 --> 00:30:24.505
um, Ang water.
508
00:30:24.885 --> 00:30:28.945
Um, I, I must stress would have no intention
509
00:30:29.605 --> 00:30:32.425
of conniving in the, in the way suggested.
510
00:30:33.005 --> 00:30:34.705
Um, yes, they are a company,
511
00:30:34.725 --> 00:30:36.465
but they carry out public functions.
512
00:30:37.045 --> 00:30:40.625
```

```
Um, they are generally answerable as, um, to
513
00:30:40.625 --> 00:30:42.505
that extent a quasi-public body.
5 1 4
00:30:43.165 --> 00:30:46.345
Um, their reputation is important to them.
5 1 5
00:30:47.085 --> 00:30:51.825
Uh, also, uh, if there were some major problem, as Mr.
516
00:30:52.315 --> 00:30:55.945
Gilda is hypothesizing, I have no doubt that
517
00:30:55.945 --> 00:30:57.425
that would be brought to the attention
518
00:30:57.445 --> 00:30:58.625
of the local authorities.
5 1 9
00:30:59.125 --> 00:31:02.265
Uh, who would in turn, um, bring it to the attention
520
00:31:02.365 --> 00:31:03.665
of Anglican water.
521
00:31:04.325 --> 00:31:08.385
Um, there's clearly going to be ongoing liaison, uh, both
52
00:31:08.385 --> 00:31:11.265
with the local community and with the local authorities.
523
00:31:11.805 --> 00:31:16.585
Um, so there are plenty of roots, uh, there
524
00:31:16.845 --> 00:31:20.505
for, um, discussing any problems that creep in.
525
00:31:20.925 --> 00:31:24.385
But as I say, I, I wish publicly on behalf of my client
```

```
526
00:31:24.965 --> 00:31:28.545
to refute the half suggestion of connivance.
527
00:31:29.035 --> 00:31:31.145
Thank you. The, one of the points, um,
528
00:31:31.585 --> 00:31:33.665
I picked up from Mr.
529
00:31:34.335 --> 00:31:37.705
Gilda's submission there was the use of subcontractors
5 3 0
00:31:38.325 --> 00:31:42.225
and whether the obligation would flow down
531
00:31:42.325 --> 00:31:46.785
to the subcontractors that weren't directly appointed by Ang
532
00:31:46.785 --> 00:31:49.745
and Water or the, the lead contractor.
533
00:31:52.685 --> 00:31:55.545
I'm, I'm hearing a firm yes. Uh, from Mr.
534
00:31:55.805 --> 00:31:59.585
Dexter behind me in answer to, to you, sir, if you wish him
535
00:31:59.585 --> 00:32:02.665
to come to the microphone to say that himself, he can.
536
00:32:03.325 --> 00:32:07.505
Um, and then also the legal position is
5 3 7
00:32:07.565 --> 00:32:09.465
as set out by, uh, Mr.
538
00:32:09.735 --> 00:32:11.425
Male, uh, in terms of
5 3 9
00:32:11.985 --> 00:32:14.825
```

angling water's ultimate responsibility for these things. 540
00:32:15.035 --> 00:32:17.505
Thank you. I wonder if that could be made clearer in the

## 541

00:32:17.705 --> 00:32:19.625
documents that it would apply to contractors
542
00:32:19.925 --> 00:32:21.265
and subcontractors.

## 543

00:32:22.045 --> 00:32:26.905
Um, it's, it's probably a, a point that would be,

## 544

00:32:27.085 --> 00:32:29.625
um, be mirrored contracts or,
545
00:32:30.205 --> 00:32:33.625
or something that, um, we don't need to concern ourselves
546
00:32:33.625 --> 00:32:36.785
with, but it could give a wider reassurance to people.
547
00:32:37.465 --> 00:32:39.325
I, I'm sure. Thank you. I'm sure, sure, that
548
00:32:39.545 --> 00:32:40.545
Can be done. So I'm looking
549
00:32:40.545 --> 00:32:41.885
at Mr. Male,
550
00:32:42.395 --> 00:32:44.885
Well, I, I, thanks Sir Paul May for the applicant.
551
00:32:45.005 --> 00:32:46.365
I think, I think we're in the realms of who,
552
00:32:46.505 --> 00:32:48.685
who has the benefit of the burden of A DCO there?

```
553
00:32:49.005 --> 00:32:50.365
I think possibly the way, the thing
554
00:32:50.365 --> 00:32:53.925
that we could look at our specific obligations within, uh,
55
00:32:54.145 --> 00:32:56.325
the ced, the CTMP, as to
556
00:32:56.325 --> 00:32:58.365
how this relationships works between Anglia.
557
00:32:58.515 --> 00:33:02.315
That was my intention when I made that request there. Yes.
558
00:33:02.365 --> 00:33:03.875
Thank you. Ms. Cotton?
559
00:33:07.025 --> 00:33:09.525
Yes. Hello, Liz Cotton, a local resident.
560
00:33:09.645 --> 00:33:12.285
I just wanted to make a comment on behalf of, uh,
5 6 1
00:33:12.285 --> 00:33:16.605
local residents, but particularly, uh, parents, um, uh,
5 6 2
00:33:16.605 --> 00:33:19.245
living in the villages of Horing and Fenden.
563
00:33:19.245 --> 00:33:23.645
There is of course, uh, uh, very close to, uh, junction 34.
564
00:33:23.985 --> 00:33:26.245
The local primary school, which serves the children
5 6 5
00:33:26.265 --> 00:33:30.485
of Horing and Fenden and young children will be walking
5 6 6
00:33:30.625 --> 00:33:33.325
```

and cycling, sometimes unaccompanied to the school.

```
5 6 7
00:33:33.825 --> 00:33:34.885
And, um,
5 6 8
00:33:35.465 --> 00:33:39.005
and I am very glad that, uh, traffic is being taken
5 6 9
00:33:39.225 --> 00:33:42.845
so seriously, but that it is, um, important that we,
5 7 0
00:33:43.025 --> 00:33:44.445
we bear in mind actually what's
571
00:33:44.445 --> 00:33:45.525
happening on the ground at the moment.
572
00:33:45.985 --> 00:33:48.685
Uh, there are traffic lights on the Horing Sea Road
573
00:33:48.685 --> 00:33:50.845
because they're widening the, uh, cycle path
574
00:33:50.905 --> 00:33:53.325
and the traffic is completely backed up already.
575
00:33:53.505 --> 00:33:58.165
Um, so it's already a very, uh, um, a lot of, uh,
576
00:33:58.395 --> 00:34:00.325
traffic weight on that road.
577
00:34:00.545 --> 00:34:04.365
Um, but, uh, um, we must, uh, consider the young people
5 7 8
00:34:04.385 --> 00:34:06.405
who don't have a voice here who will be,
5 7 9
00:34:06.465 --> 00:34:07.645
uh, walking to school.
```

```
580
00:34:08.225 --> 00:34:10.285
Um, I just wanted to make that point. Thank you very
51
00:34:10.285 --> 00:34:11.285
Much. Thank you. It's, it's not on
52
00:34:11.285 --> 00:34:12.365
the agenda today,
53
00:34:12.365 --> 00:34:15.445
but there is quite a lot of information in the application
5 8 4
00:34:16.325 --> 00:34:20.365
relating to how, um, consideration would be given
585
00:34:20.385 --> 00:34:22.405
to all users of Hoing Zeroed
586
00:34:22.505 --> 00:34:25.405
and the, the shared footpath and cycle path.
587
00:34:25.945 --> 00:34:28.925
Um, we did see those roadworks when we did our unaccompanied
58
00:34:28.955 --> 00:34:30.165
site inspection as well.
5 8 9
00:34:30.785 --> 00:34:33.525
Um, but I believe there won't be any overlap
5 9 0
00:34:33.915 --> 00:34:37.085
with those works and the, the construction.
591
00:34:37.185 --> 00:34:39.325
Can Cambridge County Council confirm that,
592
00:34:44.745 --> 00:34:46.325
Uh, David Carver, Cambridge County Council?
593
00:34:46.465 --> 00:34:49.045
```

```
Yes. Um, the, the, the, the works that are,
5 9 4
00:34:49.345 --> 00:34:52.165
are currently underway are, are, are gonna be separate
595
00:34:52.265 --> 00:34:54.565
to then the improvements that the applicant are gonna make
596
00:34:54.585 --> 00:34:56.565
to, um, closer to their access
5 9 7
00:34:56.585 --> 00:34:58.445
and the bridge itself, that that's separate.
598
00:34:58.785 --> 00:35:01.605
So will they be, my understanding is anyway,
599
00:35:01.605 --> 00:35:02.885
they would be cleared off
600
00:35:03.665 --> 00:35:07.045
before the applicant commences works, is that correct?
6 0 1
00:35:07.705 --> 00:35:10.605
Yes. Uh, I, well, I I could confirm that,
602
00:35:10.665 --> 00:35:12.845
but I believe the, the programs won't overlap.
6 0 3
00:35:13.335 --> 00:35:16.805
Thank you. Um, and just going back to Ms.
604
00:35:17.165 --> 00:35:19.485
Ter, there are, um, controls on,
605
00:35:20.185 --> 00:35:24.365
I'm at the moment, I'm listening to the specific hearing.
606
00:35:25.195 --> 00:35:26.845
There's, there's controls on the hours
```

```
6 0 7
00:35:26.845 --> 00:35:28.285
of work along that corridor.
608
00:35:28.945 --> 00:35:31.685
Um, but if you do have any further questions, of course,
6 0 9
00:35:31.685 --> 00:35:35.085
please do submit or any comments, rather submit them to us
6 1 0
00:35:35.225 --> 00:35:36.365
and we can take them into account.
6 1 1
00:35:37.735 --> 00:35:42.675
Thank you. The point we touched on
612
00:35:42.675 --> 00:35:47.435
earlier, and, um, you said that it's, it's still
6 1 3
00:35:47.435 --> 00:35:50.555
to be resolved is the potential use of the Hall Road
6 1 4
00:35:50.625 --> 00:35:53.435
through the Water Beach Newtown development.
6 1 5
00:35:57.215 --> 00:36:02.175
Why is that, um, taking
6 1 6
00:36:02.315 --> 00:36:06.245
so long to get clarity on,
6 1 7
00:36:06.575 --> 00:36:08.125
would we have clarity on it
6 1 8
00:36:08.125 --> 00:36:10.085
before we we close the examination?
6 1 9
00:36:15.945 --> 00:36:19.765
So others, I'm sure can talk about the conversations which
6 2 0
00:36:19.765 --> 00:36:23.045
```

```
have taken place, which I've not been involved with,
621
00:36:23.185 --> 00:36:24.445
but others have.
622
00:36:25.025 --> 00:36:30.005
But so the short point is that, that that project it's,
623
00:36:30.225 --> 00:36:31.605
is not under our control
624
00:36:32.425 --> 00:36:37.165
and, um, it, it's is very much up for that developer
625
00:36:37.825 --> 00:36:41.365
to decide about their own programming and so forth.
626
00:36:42.065 --> 00:36:45.205
Um, so I, I would just like to add,
627
00:36:45.225 --> 00:36:47.645
and it might be helpful to bring in Mr.
628
00:36:48.105 --> 00:36:52.445
Andrew Pryor, uh, on screen here, um,
629
00:36:53.485 --> 00:36:58.045
a about this whole Water Beach Hoing sea discussion, um,
6 3 0
00:36:58.265 --> 00:37:01.845
it, it is important to bear in mind that the
6 3 1
00:37:02.645 --> 00:37:07.485
construction traffic, um, programmed to propose
632
00:37:07.485 --> 00:37:10.645
to go through Water Beach is, um, limited
6 3 3
00:37:10.985 --> 00:37:12.445
to dealing with the pipeline.
```

634
00:37:12.515 --> 00:37:15.605
It's, it's not the main site construction traffic.
635
00:37:17.745 --> 00:37:20.965
And so with respect, it's just important that we bear
636
00:37:20.965 --> 00:37:24.725
that in mind, um, when considering, uh, those
637
00:37:25.805 --> 00:37:28.485
questions, uh, between those two places.
638
00:37:29.305 --> 00:37:31.525
But, um, so it, it may be that Mr.
639
00:37:31.865 --> 00:37:36.445
Andrew Pryor wants to say a little more about that and
640
00:37:36.465 --> 00:37:37.525
or might be able
641
00:37:37.525 --> 00:37:39.565
to say a little bit more about your question on
642
00:37:39.585 --> 00:37:40.645
the whole road.
643
00:37:40.895 --> 00:37:42.365
Thank you, Mr. Pryor.
644
00:37:43.615 --> 00:37:45.685
Thank you, sir. Um, good, good morning.
645
00:37:45.685 --> 00:37:46.845
Andrew Pryor for the applicant.
646
00:37:47.025 --> 00:37:49.245
Uh, just to provide a little bit of historical context
647
00:37:49.465 --> 00:37:53.685

```
for you, um, and, uh, panel members on that decision, um,
648
00:37:54.265 --> 00:37:57.445
to take construction traffic away from Horing Sea Village,
6 4 9
00:37:57.945 --> 00:37:59.685
um, it was a very clear
6 5 0
00:38:00.205 --> 00:38:04.125
consultation commitment made very early on, um, in,
6 5 1
00:38:04.125 --> 00:38:05.725
in discussion, those are recorded in,
6 5 2
00:38:05.745 --> 00:38:08.205
in the consultation reports, which, which, you know,
6 5 3
00:38:08.205 --> 00:38:12.085
company are the, the application that acceptance, um, the,
654
00:38:12.105 --> 00:38:16.685
the main driver there was that, uh, most of the impacts
655
00:38:16.685 --> 00:38:19.805
of traffic at Water Beach would occur regardless
656
00:38:19.865 --> 00:38:22.765
of whether you accessed via the A 10 or the A 14.
657
00:38:23.115 --> 00:38:24.445
It's that very, um,
658
00:38:25.075 --> 00:38:27.245
congested section within, within the village.
659
00:38:27.785 --> 00:38:31.605
Um, it's about five kilometers up there up through
6 6 0
00:38:32.165 --> 00:38:35.965
Horsley Road, um, which wouldn't really take much.
```

```
6 6 1
00:38:36.225 --> 00:38:39.795
Um, would, would increase impacts on Horsy Village,
62
00:38:39.895 --> 00:38:42.595
but not decrease those impacts on on Water Beach Village.
663
00:38:42.595 --> 00:38:44.515
Those, those Village PI pinch points were
664
00:38:44.515 --> 00:38:45.715
the, were the key points.
665
00:38:45.735 --> 00:38:49.595
So we did look at that balance when we heard from the
666
00:38:49.595 --> 00:38:52.635
consultation responses from Horsy Parish Council,
6 6 7
00:38:52.705 --> 00:38:54.755
safe Honey Hill and other Horsy residents,
668
00:38:55.055 --> 00:38:58.315
and made that commitment very early on that there was very,
6 6 9
00:38:58.575 --> 00:39:02.315
um, little benefit to be had rooting that pipeline traffic,
6 7 0
00:39:02.895 --> 00:39:05.395
um, up north along Horsy Road.
6 7 1
00:39:05.835 --> 00:39:08.355
I think it's worth also just sort of emphasizing there that,
6 7 2
00:39:08.665 --> 00:39:11.875
that the point that, um, Ms. Ellis just flagged up is that,
6 7 3
00:39:11.935 --> 00:39:16.315
um, the pipeline work is relatively light compared
6 7 4
00:39:16.315 --> 00:39:17.355
```

```
to the main site works,
6 7 5
00:39:18.015 --> 00:39:20.235
and there was an awful lot going on at Water Beach.
676
00:39:20.255 --> 00:39:22.035
We were aware of that and, and the challenges
6 7 7
00:39:22.035 --> 00:39:23.235
that presents residents,
6 7 8
00:39:23.575 --> 00:39:25.755
but in terms of those cumulative effects
6 7 9
00:39:25.755 --> 00:39:28.955
of all those traffic, um, uh, coming from the,
680
00:39:29.335 --> 00:39:32.395
the housing development, from the station development
6 8 1
00:39:32.395 --> 00:39:34.195
and from ourselves, our contribution
682
00:39:34.195 --> 00:39:35.835
to those cumulative impacts is very small.
683
00:39:35.835 --> 00:39:39.075
This is minor pipeline work through those access points to,
684
00:39:39.135 --> 00:39:40.155
to the east of the village.
685
00:39:40.455 --> 00:39:42.075
So that was why that commitment was made.
686
00:39:42.115 --> 00:39:44.035
I hope that sort of just provides you with a bit
687
00:39:44.035 --> 00:39:45.635
of background that, um, some
```

```
6 8 8
00:39:45.635 --> 00:39:48.235
of the high risk team weren't able to, to provide you with.
6 8 9
00:39:49.365 --> 00:39:50.475
Thank you. Um,
690
00:39:51.815 --> 00:39:55.595
but if traffic did go through Hoing Z wouldn't you avoid
6 9 1
00:39:56.695 --> 00:39:59.915
the road, sorry, the Denny End Road Junction,
6 9 2
00:39:59.925 --> 00:40:02.075
which has been identified as problematic.
6 9 3
00:40:02.415 --> 00:40:06.075
The, the junction we discussed earlier, which is, um,
6 9 4
00:40:06.785 --> 00:40:11.675
high Street, Ann Road wouldn't
6 9 5
00:40:11.735 --> 00:40:15.035
and the, the related conservation area, you wouldn't need
6 9 6
00:40:15.035 --> 00:40:16.355
to put traffic through there, would you?
6 9 7
00:40:16.865 --> 00:40:18.315
That that that's right. That access,
698
00:40:18.315 --> 00:40:20.435
that subtly access point would be avoided.
6 9 9
00:40:20.855 --> 00:40:23.395
You would have the problem of Clay Hive Bridge, which, um,
7 0 0
00:40:23.885 --> 00:40:26.555
which Safe Honey Hill discussed like earlier as well, that,
7 0 1
00:40:26.555 --> 00:40:28.075
```

that, that bend there as well.
702
00:40:28.135 --> 00:40:31.555
So it's, it's a five kilometer route up from Horningsea
703
00:40:31.825 --> 00:40:34.235
that way rather compared to the two kilometer route
704
00:40:34.235 --> 00:40:36.955
through from the A 10 across to those points.
705
00:40:36.975 --> 00:40:38.835
So it is a significantly longer route.
706
00:40:39.255 --> 00:40:41.435
Um, we can look at that if we feel that's,
707
00:40:41.695 --> 00:40:43.955
that's adequate mitigation to route up there,
708
00:40:43.975 --> 00:40:47.075
but we did make that strong commitment to, to Hornings
709
00:40:47.075 --> 00:40:49.555
and felt the balance was appropriate at, at the time.
710
00:40:51.365 --> 00:40:53.425
So is it, would it be correct to say
711
00:40:53.455 --> 00:40:55.545
that it was a response to
712
00:40:56.155 --> 00:40:58.785
objections from the village people in the village,
713
00:40:59.085 --> 00:41:01.425
the parish council? Um,
714
00:41:01.505 --> 00:41:03.145
I, I, I wouldn't class it as objections.

```
7 1 5
00:41:03.145 --> 00:41:06.345
We had a very proactive response with, with, with the,
7 1 6
00:41:06.345 --> 00:41:07.665
with the team there and, uh,
7 1 7
00:41:07.665 --> 00:41:09.865
and with both the Parish Council and Safe Honey Hill
7 1 8
00:41:09.865 --> 00:41:12.065
and residents and, and it was agreed very early on.
7 1 9
00:41:12.405 --> 00:41:16.105
Um, so it, it, it is a consultation response to I think,
7 2 0
00:41:16.105 --> 00:41:17.505
reasonable concerns from,
7 2 1
00:41:17.735 --> 00:41:19.825
from our community, local community
72
00:41:20.885 --> 00:41:21.885
At that point.
7 2 3
00:41:22.085 --> 00:41:26.825
Um, were, was Water Beach Parish Council similarly,
7 2 4
00:41:26.975 --> 00:41:28.105
similarly engaged,
7 2 5
00:41:28.805 --> 00:41:32.905
or did they realize even that the, the traffic
726
00:41:33.065 --> 00:41:35.045
for the pipeline would be coming through their village
7 2 7
00:41:35.305 --> 00:41:37.005
as an alternative to Hoing Z?
7 2 8
00:41:37.865 --> 00:41:40.085
```

Um, they were, they were engaged,
729
00:41:40.145 --> 00:41:43.925
but I, I don't think, um, the level of engagement was
730
00:41:43.925 --> 00:41:46.805
as high as Hoing $Z$ and I, I, I think I take your point
731
00:41:46.805 --> 00:41:48.365
that they may not have even realized the level
732
00:41:48.425 --> 00:41:49.685
of work up there,
733
00:41:49.685 --> 00:41:53.445
because that didn't emerge until consultation two, uh,
734
00:41:53.595 --> 00:41:56.525
that said at the, uh, the subsequent consultations,
735
00:41:56.545 --> 00:41:58.005
we didn't ever hear anyone saying
736
00:41:58.035 --> 00:41:59.925
that we should be using Haringey Road
737
00:42:00.385 --> 00:42:02.165
to access the south of Water Beach.
738
00:42:02.385 --> 00:42:03.885
And we, we'd never, we'd never had
739
00:42:03.885 --> 00:42:05.405
that response back saying, can you,
740
00:42:05.585 --> 00:42:06.965
can you balance those two routes?
741
00:42:06.965 --> 00:42:10.365
So we continued with, um, with, with the commitment

742
00:42:10.365 --> 00:42:11.365
to avoid hosley.
743
00:42:12.455 --> 00:42:15.925
Thank you. So would it be useful just
744
00:42:15.925 --> 00:42:18.005
to talk about the, the Hall Road very, very briefly?
745
00:42:18.465 --> 00:42:22.445
Um, yes, please. In, in, in a, in an ideal world, yes,
746
00:42:22.665 --> 00:42:24.725
we would, uh, use a hall road
747
00:42:24.725 --> 00:42:27.445
that was being used in association with other development.
748
00:42:27.475 --> 00:42:30.525
However, the timeframe for those developments is unclear.
749
00:42:30.825 --> 00:42:32.205
The associated land rights
750
00:42:32.205 --> 00:42:33.685
with those would be unclear as well.
751
00:42:34.025 --> 00:42:37.005
So we would very much like to explore that through the,
752
00:42:37.005 --> 00:42:38.125
through the CTMP.
753
00:42:38.125 --> 00:42:41.125
And if there are at the time, the ability to use that route,
754
00:42:41.585 --> 00:42:43.285
um, we would discuss that with the council
755
00:42:43.345 --> 00:42:45.205
and with the owners of that hall route
756
00:42:45.225 --> 00:42:46.765
and see if there was access to it.
757
00:42:47.025 --> 00:42:48.925
But it is only just an option.
758
00:42:48.955 --> 00:42:51.405
It's nothing that could, could be secured under the DCO
759
00:42:51.405 --> 00:42:54.125
because of the, um, uncertainties associated
760
00:42:54.195 --> 00:42:56.005
with their development timeframe.
761
00:42:57.055 --> 00:43:01.405
Thank you. And given that it's outside of the Order land,
762
00:43:02.745 --> 00:43:04.965
um, and as you've just said,
763
00:43:05.025 --> 00:43:07.445
we couldn't secure it under A DCO,
764
00:43:08.235 --> 00:43:11.765
what weight do you think we can recommend to the Secretary
765
00:43:11.765 --> 00:43:14.125
of State in relation to that as an alternative?
766
00:43:15.005 --> 00:43:17.165
I, I, I would suggest, uh, there's very little weight
767
00:43:17.275 --> 00:43:18.605
that you could place on that.
768
00:43:18.665 --> 00:43:20.405
It, it's an option we'd like to explore,

```
7 6 9
00:43:20.405 --> 00:43:24.525
but there's, uh, other barriers to, to doing that as well.
7 7 0
00:43:24.525 --> 00:43:25.925
As you've pointed out both consent
7 7 1
00:43:26.065 --> 00:43:29.285
and, um, uh, uh, land rights.
7 7 2
00:43:30.735 --> 00:43:34.805
Thank you. If it was to happen, um,
7 7 3
00:43:35.025 --> 00:43:36.845
how would you bring it forward?
74
00:43:36.855 --> 00:43:40.765
Would it be brought forward, for example, um, using the
7 7 5
00:43:41.635 --> 00:43:43.445
community liaison process?
776
00:43:43.975 --> 00:43:45.365
Would the county be involved?
7 7 7
00:43:45.375 --> 00:43:48.845
Could you, have you had any ideas of how, um,
7 7 8
00:43:48.845 --> 00:43:50.645
people could get involved in that?
7 7 9
00:43:52.265 --> 00:43:54.075
Yeah, it's a good, a good question, sir.
70
00:43:54.175 --> 00:43:56.075
Um, I mean, I imagine it would be through the,
7 8 1
00:43:56.075 --> 00:43:58.435
through the construction traffic management plan for
72
00:43:59.185 --> 00:44:00.275
```

```
primarily, and of course,
78
00:44:00.275 --> 00:44:02.435
there's not a public engagement element of that,
78
00:44:02.935 --> 00:44:04.235
so we could consider that
7 8 5
00:44:04.235 --> 00:44:05.835
through the community engagement process.
78
00:44:06.905 --> 00:44:09.435
Also, given it's, would effectively be reliant on someone
78
00:44:09.495 --> 00:44:12.355
else's consent, I presume that there would be elements
78
00:44:12.355 --> 00:44:14.195
through the public consult consultation
7 8 9
00:44:14.255 --> 00:44:15.875
for the detailed planning permission
7 9 0
00:44:15.875 --> 00:44:16.995
for that, for that whole road.
7 9 1
00:44:17.885 --> 00:44:22.555
Thank you. I realize it's, it's contingent on lots
7 9 2
00:44:22.555 --> 00:44:25.195
of things, and it's a, an intention at the moment
7 9 3
00:44:25.295 --> 00:44:28.275
to pursue it, but is there any way the application
7 9 4
00:44:28.595 --> 00:44:32.765
documentation could reflect, um, your intentions
7 9 5
00:44:32.865 --> 00:44:34.805
for engagement should happen so
```

```
7 9 6
00:44:34.805 --> 00:44:37.925
that the local community would have that comfort
7 9 7
00:44:37.995 --> 00:44:39.085
that they would be involved?
7 9 8
00:44:40.435 --> 00:44:42.735
Um, so I, I can definitely discuss that
7 9 9
00:44:42.735 --> 00:44:46.055
with the Highways team, sort of, I, I would think
800
00:44:46.055 --> 00:44:49.095
that we could introduce something into the construction
801
00:44:49.095 --> 00:44:50.175
traffic management plan
802
00:44:50.175 --> 00:44:52.135
and into the community liaison plan
803
00:44:52.355 --> 00:44:55.695
to flag up the possibility of that, certainly, yes, as long
804
00:44:55.695 --> 00:44:57.935
as it makes clear that that's just an option
805
00:44:57.935 --> 00:44:59.415
that we would have to explore.
806
00:45:00.235 --> 00:45:03.095
Yes. Um, we'll take that away as an action point,
807
00:45:03.195 --> 00:45:05.855
and you can word it and caveat it accordingly.
808
00:45:06.665 --> 00:45:09.375
Thank you, sir. Highlighted It yellow, sir, on my
809
00:45:09.375 --> 00:45:09.815
```

Notes. Thank
810
00:45:09.815 --> 00:45:10.815
You.
811
00:45:16.725 --> 00:45:20.695
That takes us on to a, a related point about the CTMP
812
00:45:20.955 --> 00:45:23.935
and, um, Cambridge County Council.
813
00:45:23.955 --> 00:45:28.655
If I may, are you happy, um,
814
00:45:29.365 --> 00:45:32.335
that the CTMP would be the mechanism to
815
00:45:33.115 --> 00:45:34.895
enable the construction forum
816
00:45:36.035 --> 00:45:40.215
and also that it would involve representatives from
817
00:45:41.155 --> 00:45:42.455
the affected parishes?
818
00:45:47.755 --> 00:45:49.345
David Ka came, she county council.
819
00:45:49.765 --> 00:45:54.245
Um, we haven't, uh,
820
00:45:54.625 --> 00:45:56.925
raised any issues to suggest otherwise.
821
00:45:57.065 --> 00:46:01.445
So, uh, I think that's the general approach is there,
822
00:46:01.465 --> 00:46:03.645
but I think what I would like to do is take that away

```
823
00:46:03.645 --> 00:46:05.965
and consult with my colleagues from Highway Authority
824
00:46:06.225 --> 00:46:07.645
and confirm that a deadline for,
825
00:46:08.315 --> 00:46:11.605
Okay, we'll take that as an action point then to, um,
826
00:46:11.635 --> 00:46:14.645
come back to us on the, the mechanism
827
00:46:14.665 --> 00:46:16.365
and whether the county's happy with that.
828
00:46:17.235 --> 00:46:18.775
For the, um, CTMP,
829
00:46:22.005 --> 00:46:24.545
as Mr. Hudson said at the start, we've read through all
830
00:46:24.545 --> 00:46:26.265
of the documents that was submitted.
831
00:46:27.125 --> 00:46:30.225
Um, I just wanted to come over
832
00:46:30.325 --> 00:46:33.505
to Water Beach Parish Council now to see if there is
833
00:46:34.425 --> 00:46:36.145
anything else you'd like to add
834
00:46:36.575 --> 00:46:39.625
because, um, obviously construction is one
835
00:46:39.625 --> 00:46:42.625
of the issues raised in a number of representations.
836
00:46:47.355 --> 00:46:49.945
```

Thank you, sir. Thank you, sir.

```
837
00:46:49.945 --> 00:46:51.825
Jane Williams Water Beach Parish Council.
838
00:46:52.325 --> 00:46:54.665
Um, I found the conversations very interesting
839
00:46:54.665 --> 00:46:56.465
because with regard to highways
840
00:46:56.465 --> 00:47:00.465
and the impacts, we have not had the opportunity to consult.
84
00:47:01.045 --> 00:47:04.625
Um, I would also be interested to know where the,
842
00:47:04.725 --> 00:47:07.345
the figures have come from for the cumulative effect
843
00:47:07.405 --> 00:47:09.665
for Water Beach, um, and,
844
00:47:09.685 --> 00:47:13.745
and how, how that is impactful for the, for the combined,
845
00:47:14.005 --> 00:47:17.825
um, the, all the issues that we are facing.
846
00:47:18.725 --> 00:47:20.545
Um, very interesting with regard.
847
00:47:20.545 --> 00:47:23.705
It would be very useful if we could get some sort of, uh,
848
00:47:24.005 --> 00:47:25.345
use of the whole road
849
00:47:25.655 --> 00:47:26.825
because, um, uh,
```

```
850
00:47:26.985 --> 00:47:29.925
I dunno if the inspectors have actually visited Water Beach
851
00:47:29.945 --> 00:47:33.085
and to see the actual center and the issues that we have.
852
00:47:33.785 --> 00:47:36.645
Um, again, there are protocols
853
00:47:36.645 --> 00:47:39.885
that South Cams could actually evoke with regard
854
00:47:39.905 --> 00:47:44.285
to actually accessing the A 10, um, to take the, um,
855
00:47:45.405 --> 00:47:49.245
construction traffic across to the RLW site.
856
00:47:49.305 --> 00:47:52.565
But obviously as we're not party to any of those, um,
857
00:47:53.595 --> 00:47:55.085
conversations, um,
858
00:47:55.905 --> 00:47:58.845
and the developers, we, as you know, it's very difficult.
859
00:47:58.865 --> 00:48:01.805
We have two developers of Water Beach, Newtown,
860
00:48:01.985 --> 00:48:03.565
things coming forward at different times.
861
00:48:04.225 --> 00:48:06.965
So, and, and all of this happens north
862
00:48:06.965 --> 00:48:10.485
of mitigations without, yeah, we have consultations
863
00:48:10.545 --> 00:48:11.685
```

and things, and then let's,
864
00:48:11.815 --> 00:48:13.845
we've got the GCP in the station as well.
865
00:48:14.265 --> 00:48:18.725
So, um, in the, in the mishmash
866
00:48:18.945 --> 00:48:23.805
of it all, it would be good if we would know
867
00:48:23.805 --> 00:48:27.325
what the developers were going, what they're discussing with
868
00:48:27.945 --> 00:48:30.245
the, um, stakeholders and the applicant
869
00:48:30.385 --> 00:48:33.045
and how it could be mitigated
870
00:48:34.455 --> 00:48:37.955
and enforced to the benefits of Water, beach,
871
00:48:37.955 --> 00:48:39.075
parish, and community.
872
00:48:39.515 --> 00:48:40.915
I think that is the overlying thing there.
873
00:48:40.915 --> 00:48:43.795
It doesn't, there seems to be lots of lovely ideas about,
874
00:48:43.795 --> 00:48:45.315
oh, we can do this and we can do that,
875
00:48:45.315 --> 00:48:46.755
and we're gonna add onto it at the end.
876
00:48:47.375 --> 00:48:49.595
Um, but the, the overall, um,

```
877
00:48:50.355 --> 00:48:52.075
umbrella approach I feel should be taken
878
00:48:52.095 --> 00:48:55.395
and that we should be being part of it and enforcement.
879
00:48:56.135 --> 00:48:58.395
Um, something else that I picked up with regard
880
00:48:58.415 --> 00:48:59.995
to say there's a major accident
881
00:48:59.995 --> 00:49:02.555
because we do get lots of running through the village.
882
00:49:02.815 --> 00:49:04.395
If the A 10 is blocked
883
00:49:04.395 --> 00:49:09.315
and that's all sorts of traffic, how long would, um, the,
884
00:49:09.415 --> 00:49:12.835
um, mitigations that are applied, if there is, um,
885
00:49:13.275 --> 00:49:16.795
a major accident on the A 10, how long would it take
886
00:49:18.305 --> 00:49:20.485
for the recognizing where your lorries are,
887
00:49:20.785 --> 00:49:22.205
you're gonna take them off site?
888
00:49:22.785 --> 00:49:25.845
How long will that plan actually take to be evoked
889
00:49:26.425 --> 00:49:27.565
if there is a blockage?
890
00:49:27.565 --> 00:49:29.285
```

```
If there is a risk to life?
891
00:49:30.025 --> 00:49:31.525
Um, I wasn't quite clear on that.
892
00:49:31.545 --> 00:49:32.885
So I'd say traffic figures,
893
00:49:32.885 --> 00:49:34.445
what's being discussed with developers.
894
00:49:34.615 --> 00:49:36.885
Could the protocol be brought in, um,
895
00:49:37.425 --> 00:49:42.325
and how long, if there is a major incident, would the plans,
896
00:49:43.705 --> 00:49:46.925
um, take to be, um, evoked
897
00:49:47.875 --> 00:49:49.125
that there isn't loss of life?
898
00:49:49.425 --> 00:49:50.445
If a road is blocked,
899
00:49:51.905 --> 00:49:54.525
Can I come back to the applicant on those points?
900
00:49:54.525 --> 00:49:58.325
Particularly whether you feel that
901
00:49:59.045 --> 00:50:01.325
consultation has been satisfactory
902
00:50:01.355 --> 00:50:03.045
with Water Beach Parish Council
903
00:50:04.225 --> 00:50:08.445
and whether there's any opportunities, hence forth to
```

```
904
00:50:09.075 --> 00:50:12.005
have any further consultation, um,
905
00:50:12.305 --> 00:50:17.205
and perhaps to indicate the information
906
00:50:17.355 --> 00:50:20.605
that, um, Jane Williams was referring to.
907
00:50:22.535 --> 00:50:24.165
Thank you very much, sir.
908
00:50:24.625 --> 00:50:28.495
Um, water Beach Parish Council, um,
909
00:50:28.835 --> 00:50:33.295
has had opportunities to comment on highways
910
00:50:33.475 --> 00:50:35.255
as indeed all other issues.
911
00:50:35.915 --> 00:50:40.655
Um, they have been, uh, invited to the consultation phases,
912
00:50:40.655 --> 00:50:44.375
invited to participate, invited to participate
913
00:50:44.485 --> 00:50:46.775
through the community working groups.
914
00:50:47.715 --> 00:50:51.655
Um, now, so you've heard about the proposals
915
00:50:52.155 --> 00:50:55.615
for the CTMP, uh, to be developed
916
00:50:55.835 --> 00:50:59.375
and to include the idea of a liaison group,
917
00:50:59.875 --> 00:51:04.535
```

and we would welcome Water Beach Parish Council, uh, being, 918
00:51:04.755 --> 00:51:06.255
uh, a part of that
919
00:51:06.515 --> 00:51:10.095
and certainly, um, that, that would be our intention.
920
00:51:11.035 --> 00:51:15.295
Um, if Jane Williams would appreciate any,
921
00:51:15.915 --> 00:51:20.095
um, help from $a$, from a member of the team in, uh, uh,
922
00:51:20.285 --> 00:51:23.215
finding her pathway through the documents,
923
00:51:23.595 --> 00:51:26.895
the examination documents, uh, then, um,
924
00:51:27.255 --> 00:51:30.335
I can certainly make a member of the team available, um,
925
00:51:30.475 --> 00:51:33.055
to assist her with pointing out which
926
00:51:33.575 --> 00:51:36.975
documents she might particularly like to, to look at.
927
00:51:37.905 --> 00:51:39.535
Thank you. Um, I'm aware Mr.
928
00:51:39.665 --> 00:51:40.895
Pryor has got his hand,
929
00:51:41.275 --> 00:51:44.615
but just to come back to Jane Williams on this point, um,
930
00:51:44.835 --> 00:51:49.295
it is primarily a written process, the, the DCO process.

```
931
00:51:50.195 --> 00:51:53.695
Um, we've got about another three months
932
00:51:53.695 --> 00:51:54.935
of examination left,
933
00:51:55.515 --> 00:51:59.695
and we can only take into account information that is
934
00:52:00.055 --> 00:52:02.655
provided during that examination period.
935
00:52:03.915 --> 00:52:05.215
So please be aware of that.
936
00:52:05.215 --> 00:52:08.375
There's a lot of information on the process on the planning
937
00:52:08.445 --> 00:52:09.655
inspector's website,
938
00:52:10.555 --> 00:52:14.305
and once you've reviewed any further documents
939
00:52:14.305 --> 00:52:16.625
that the applicant may have helped you with,
940
00:52:17.635 --> 00:52:21.065
there is an opportunity to make written submissions
941
00:52:21.205 --> 00:52:23.025
to the examining authority.
942
00:52:23.445 --> 00:52:26.545
Our timetable is also on the website showing the various
943
00:52:26.985 --> 00:52:31.945
deadlines, so hopefully between the two of two sides of the
944
00:52:32.575 --> 00:52:35.265
```

```
parties, you will be able to, um,
945
00:52:36.085 --> 00:52:38.465
at least answer the questions clearly,
946
00:52:39.125 --> 00:52:40.125
Sir. Um, we
947
00:52:40.125 --> 00:52:42.465
can't take on responsibility, um,
948
00:52:42.645 --> 00:52:44.305
for Water Beach Parish Council,
949
00:52:44.445 --> 00:52:49.225
but what of course we can do, uh, is uh, we're very happy
950
00:52:49.365 --> 00:52:53.545
to answer questions that Jane Williams might put, uh,
951
00:52:53.845 --> 00:52:56.865
and, uh, as I say, to sign, post her
952
00:52:56.865 --> 00:52:59.425
and help her, um, to be able
953
00:52:59.425 --> 00:53:01.905
to navigate the examination library.
954
00:53:02.395 --> 00:53:04.065
Thank you. Thank you, sir. Yes,
955
00:53:04.065 --> 00:53:05.425
There's a lot to navigate in there.
956
00:53:05.445 --> 00:53:09.545
Yes. So, um, it's, I think the help may well be useful.
957
00:53:10.245 --> 00:53:11.505
Should we go to Mr.
```

```
958
00:53:11.835 --> 00:53:14.185
Pryor, who's had his hand up for a while now?
959
00:53:15.225 --> 00:53:17.985
Y yes, thank you, sir. I, I just wanted to,
960
00:53:17.985 --> 00:53:21.425
to briefly refer the panel to, to the consultation report
961
00:53:21.485 --> 00:53:24.065
and particularly page 22, where it refers
962
00:53:24.065 --> 00:53:25.340
to community working groups.
963
00:53:25.705 --> 00:53:30.525
Um, water Beach Parish Council did attend the first
964
00:53:30.585 --> 00:53:34.605
two of those, um, in, in 22nd of April, 2021,
965
00:53:34.605 --> 00:53:36.245
the 2nd of June, 2021,
966
00:53:36.245 --> 00:53:38.845
but subsequently didn't attend later ones.
967
00:53:38.925 --> 00:53:40.165
I presumably because it was, felt
968
00:53:40.165 --> 00:53:41.485
that the impacts were relatively low
969
00:53:41.865 --> 00:53:44.325
and there wasn't significant transport, um,
970
00:53:44.555 --> 00:53:46.605
information available at that early stage.
971
00:53:47.085 --> 00:53:49.045
```

```
I think I'd just reiterate, um, Ms.
972
00:53:49.285 --> 00:53:51.925
Ellis's point that we are very happy to speak with, uh,
973
00:53:51.925 --> 00:53:53.285
representatives of the Parish council
974
00:53:53.425 --> 00:53:55.165
to help them understand
975
00:53:55.265 --> 00:53:57.765
how we're managing this cumulative effect, um,
976
00:53:58.345 --> 00:53:59.405
in Water Beach,
977
00:53:59.425 --> 00:54:00.685
but noting that, you know, our
978
00:54:01.485 --> 00:54:04.485
pipeline activities are relatively low level compared
979
00:54:04.485 --> 00:54:06.005
to some of the other cons, uh,
980
00:54:06.245 --> 00:54:07.365
construction traffic activities
981
00:54:07.365 --> 00:54:09.085
that are happening in, in the neighborhood.
982
00:54:10.415 --> 00:54:13.445
Thank you. Um, you'll understand from the
983
00:54:14.205 --> 00:54:17.285
examining authorities' point of view, we need to, to respond
984
00:54:17.305 --> 00:54:19.925
to concerns that have been raised to us
```

```
985
00:54:20.865 --> 00:54:23.365
and, um, just ensure that there has been a,
986
00:54:23.725 --> 00:54:24.805
a full and fair process.
987
00:54:25.025 --> 00:54:29.725
So I think it would be helpful if, um, as you said,
988
00:54:30.185 --> 00:54:33.885
you can, um, help navigate, is it, sorry, Jane.
989
00:54:33.925 --> 00:54:35.725
I think Jane, um, Williams would like
990
00:54:35.725 --> 00:54:36.965
to come back on a point here.
991
00:54:38.355 --> 00:54:42.055
Um, yes, I would like to come back, um,
992
00:54:42.605 --> 00:54:45.135
because through all of these processes, as I say,
993
00:54:45.135 --> 00:54:46.415
we're not just talking about this.
994
00:54:46.575 --> 00:54:48.815
I know this inquiry is about A DCO,
995
00:54:49.235 --> 00:54:52.895
but there is a, a cumulative effect, um, with regard
996
00:54:52.995 --> 00:54:54.015
to everything we're facing,
997
00:54:54.315 --> 00:54:57.495
and I think, um, we need to consider that
9 9 8
00:54:57.515 --> 00:54:59.175
```

and the residents of Water Beach.
999
00:54:59.595 --> 00:55:02.375
Um, I, I do understand I'm a lay person.
1000
00:55:02.595 --> 00:55:04.055
I'm only a parish council here,
1001
00:55:04.055 --> 00:55:05.735
and I'm not going to demean that either.
1002
00:55:06.595 --> 00:55:09.935
Um, but you come into here, there are,
1003
00:55:09.945 --> 00:55:11.815
there is the consultation process
1004
00:55:12.275 --> 00:55:15.765
and then there is that actually talking to people.
1005
00:55:16.665 --> 00:55:18.445
Um, and it would,
1006
00:55:18.505 --> 00:55:21.045
it would be useful perhaps if Anglia Water could come
1007
00:55:21.045 --> 00:55:25.085
to speak at a parish council meeting directly, um, and,
1008
00:55:25.105 --> 00:55:26.485
and speak with the other councilors
1009
00:55:26.485 --> 00:55:28.405
because we do represent our communities.
1010
00:55:28.905 --> 00:55:32.125
At the beginning, we did suggest in our initial response
1011
00:55:32.435 --> 00:55:34.685
that there should be a water beach hearing

```
1012
00:55:34.745 --> 00:55:35.845
and it should be looked at.
1 0 1 3
00:55:35.845 --> 00:55:39.525
There was very, very little information that was, we,
1014
00:55:39.625 --> 00:55:41.965
we didn't even know it was gonna be a pumping station,
1015
00:55:42.425 --> 00:55:44.325
you know, is this is going to happen.
1016
00:55:44.385 --> 00:55:47.405
So I just think it might be as to how we approach
1017
00:55:47.945 --> 00:55:50.165
and speak to each other within a consultation process,
1018
00:55:50.165 --> 00:55:51.605
because at the end of the day,
1019
00:55:51.865 --> 00:55:53.765
it is actually our communities.
1020
00:55:53.995 --> 00:55:55.125
It's our safe honey hills.
1021
00:55:55.475 --> 00:55:57.525
It's, it, that's what it boils down to.
1022
00:55:58.005 --> 00:55:59.485
I understand there's a planning process,
1023
00:55:59.985 --> 00:56:02.605
but we must forget that we are talking about
1024
00:56:02.625 --> 00:56:04.765
how we're impacting on people's lives,
1025
00:56:04.785 --> 00:56:06.125
```

and that is all I want to say,
1026
00:56:06.385 --> 00:56:07.805
but it's okay saying you've got your
1027
00:56:07.805 --> 00:56:08.965
libraries, you've got this, that, and the other.
1028
00:56:09.465 --> 00:56:11.245
Um, it is high for looting.
1029
00:56:11.345 --> 00:56:13.205
We can't afford consultants or,
1030
00:56:13.585 --> 00:56:16.805
or legal, so it would be nice to have that help. Thank
1031
00:56:16.805 --> 00:56:17.805
You. Thank you. Well, I
1032
00:56:17.805 --> 00:56:18.165
just,
1033
00:56:18.165 --> 00:56:20.325
before I go back to the applicant,
1034
00:56:20.975 --> 00:56:23.525
cumulative impact is something that we do consider,
1035
00:56:23.785 --> 00:56:27.325
and it's something that has been addressed in the,
1036
00:56:28.065 --> 00:56:30.445
the environmental statement that was submitted
1037
00:56:30.445 --> 00:56:34.525
by the applicant, um, not necessarily today,
1038
00:56:34.545 --> 00:56:38.125
but throughout this process we are raising questions on the

```
1039
00:56:38.125 --> 00:56:40.925
information that has been presented in that as well
1040
00:56:40.925 --> 00:56:42.045
as other chapters.
1041
00:56:42.105 --> 00:56:46.605
So, um, that is there for you to review as well, if you wish
1042
00:56:46.625 --> 00:56:47.885
to and make comment on it.
1043
00:56:47.905 --> 00:56:50.285
But I'll just go back to the applicant
1044
00:56:50.305 --> 00:56:51.645
for a final word on this point.
1045
00:56:51.935 --> 00:56:56.445
Thank you very much, sir. Um, I've set out our position.
1046
00:56:56.545 --> 00:57:01.005
Mr. Pryor has given you the factual history.
1047
00:57:01.005 --> 00:57:04.085
Um, uh, certainly, um,
1048
00:57:05.395 --> 00:57:09.125
I've indicated, uh, a willingness to talk and to assist.
1049
00:57:09.705 --> 00:57:12.965
Um, I don't know how much longer Jane Williams is going
1050
00:57:12.965 --> 00:57:14.125
to be with us today.
1051
00:57:14.665 --> 00:57:17.445
Uh, but if you are still here at lunchtime,
1052
00:57:17.535 --> 00:57:20.565
```

she's still here at lunchtime, uh, one
1053
00:57:20.565 --> 00:57:22.485
of our team will come and make contact.
1054
00:57:23.055 --> 00:57:27.645
Thank you. Thank you. Let's move on then to Matt.
1055
00:57:27.805 --> 00:57:29.605
I, I beg you, pardon, Mr. Gilda?
1056
00:57:33.105 --> 00:57:36.185
Ian Gilda for S-H-H-I-I have this feeling so that you
1057
00:57:36.925 --> 00:57:39.105
are about to wrap up the Water Beach
1058
00:57:39.165 --> 00:57:41.785
and Hoing Sea sort of construction routes.
1059
00:57:42.225 --> 00:57:43.345
Question, if you are not,
1060
00:57:43.405 --> 00:57:44.465
and you've got other questions,
1061
00:57:44.605 --> 00:57:45.785
I'm happy to wait to the, well,
1062
00:57:45.785 --> 00:57:46.945
Please say what you'd like to see.
1063
00:57:47.505 --> 00:57:52.365
I will, um, I mean if it's,
1064
00:57:52.365 --> 00:57:54.365
if it's helpful, sir, there are two important points really.
1065
00:57:54.705 --> 00:57:57.485
Um, if Mr. Pryor has set out the sort of chapter

```
1066
00:57:57.505 --> 00:58:00.285
and verses to the conversations, um,
1067
00:58:00.635 --> 00:58:03.685
with predominantly Horing SEA residents, it has to be said
1068
00:58:03.685 --> 00:58:07.605
during the early stages of consultation about restricting,
1069
00:58:07.705 --> 00:58:09.925
um, construction traffic through the village.
1070
00:58:10.345 --> 00:58:11.925
Um, it's certainly something
1071
00:58:11.925 --> 00:58:15.525
that we would find extremely unsatisfactory if there was
1072
00:58:15.605 --> 00:58:19.885
to be any stepping away from that commitment, um, in terms
1073
00:58:19.945 --> 00:58:24.775
of allowing significant amounts of HGV traffic
1074
00:58:24.915 --> 00:58:27.135
to come through, um, hing Sea.
1075
00:58:27.755 --> 00:58:30.575
Um, and so that, that's clearly a matter for you to consider
1076
00:58:30.635 --> 00:58:33.735
so that, um, I know you've asked the applicants to
1077
00:58:35.015 --> 00:58:37.295
consider the, whether how much the,
1078
00:58:37.355 --> 00:58:39.215
the cumulative impact could be reduced
1079
00:58:39.355 --> 00:58:42.965
```

by allowing some construction traffic for the, um,
1080
00:58:43.135 --> 00:58:45.485
water Beach pipeline to come through Hing Sea.
1081
00:58:45.865 --> 00:58:48.845
Um, I think locally clearly there's been a,
1082
00:58:49.045 --> 00:58:51.285
a longstanding view that that was a commitment.
1083
00:58:51.385 --> 00:58:53.725
It had been made very firmly by the applicant.
1084
00:58:53.985 --> 00:58:58.125
Um, whether or not people in Water Beach were quite as aware
1085
00:58:58.125 --> 00:59:00.685
of what was going on at that stage, I suspect they weren't,
1086
00:59:00.785 --> 00:59:03.245
but I think that it's an important point
1087
00:59:03.245 --> 00:59:06.645
and it would be very unsatisfactory if at this late stage in
1088
00:59:06.645 --> 00:59:10.535
the DCO application, we would start to look at splitting
1089
00:59:10.535 --> 00:59:13.935
that traffic north and south, um, through Hoing Sea.
1090
00:59:17.015 --> 00:59:20.775
I do have a another substantive concern, sir, um,
1091
00:59:21.695 --> 00:59:25.285
which I think we've not quite got to, which is the question.
1092
00:59:25.285 --> 00:59:27.165
Well, there are two questions actually, sir. Sorry.

```
1093
00:59:28.465 --> 00:59:31.875
The question of the whole road is I think in Mr.
1094
00:59:32.025 --> 00:59:34.715
Pryor's terms, and I would rather agree with him, um,
1095
00:59:36.545 --> 00:59:38.755
only the vaguest of possibilities that
1096
00:59:38.755 --> 00:59:40.955
that could be brought into play
1097
00:59:41.655 --> 00:59:45.155
and would have any significant benefits in terms of taking,
1098
00:59:45.695 --> 00:59:48.155
um, construction traffic outta road
1099
00:59:48.215 --> 00:59:51.635
and the droves that, um, need to support that,
1100
00:59:51.695 --> 00:59:53.835
nor the most northerly part of the,
1101
00:59:53.935 --> 00:59:55.075
the pipeline construction.
1102
00:59:55.575 --> 01:00:00.035
Um, so I doubt so that much weight needs to be placed on
1103
01:00:00.035 --> 01:00:02.355
that as a, as a realistic possibility.
1104
01:00:02.515 --> 01:00:06.115
I think the realistic routing for traffic coming to
1105
01:00:06.115 --> 01:00:08.315
that very northern section of the, uh,
1106
01:00:09.195 --> 01:00:11.515
```

```
pipeline is a long ben Old road. Can
1107
01:00:11.515 --> 01:00:14.875
You tell me the basis that you make that comment on?
1108
01:00:16.705 --> 01:00:19.915
It's predominantly, sir, that, I mean, I'm generally aware
1109
01:00:19.915 --> 01:00:24.475
of the rates of progress in, in terms of the development
1110
01:00:24.495 --> 01:00:26.395
of phase one of the new town,
1111
01:00:26.615 --> 01:00:30.115
and then there is the question of phase two, which is still
1112
01:00:30.175 --> 01:00:33.355
to, um, be granted planning permission, um, and
1113
01:00:33.355 --> 01:00:36.555
therefore the practical likelihood that there will be a,
1114
01:00:36.835 --> 01:00:40.475
a whole road that will traverse the whole of the phase one,
1115
01:00:40.815 --> 01:00:44.355
um, site and would
1116
01:00:44.355 --> 01:00:46.275
therefore be potentially usable
1117
01:00:46.655 --> 01:00:49.315
for the relatively small amounts of traffic that are needed
1118
01:00:49.375 --> 01:00:51.595
for the, uh, the northern part of the pipeline.
1119
01:00:51.915 --> 01:00:55.635
I don't, I, I'm quite satisfied so that, you know, if,
```

1120
01:00:55.655 --> 01:00:58.395
if you would like them to continue to put it as part of the,
1121
01:00:58.715 --> 01:01:02.315
a future examination through the CTMP, that would be fine.
1122
01:01:02.735 --> 01:01:05.355
Um, but I don't think much weight can be given on the P0
1123
01:01:05.415 --> 01:01:07.795
to the possibility or the likelihood that
1124
01:01:07.795 --> 01:01:09.315
that will be a reality. Have
1125
01:01:09.315 --> 01:01:11.715
You spoken to the developers of Water Beach Newtown?
1126
01:01:11.995 --> 01:01:13.955
I haven't specifically asked 'em, sir, about
1127
01:01:13.955 --> 01:01:16.875
that whole road and whether they have any intention
1128
01:01:16.895 --> 01:01:19.795
of it being in place by 2025, for example.
1129
01:01:20.395 --> 01:01:23.675
I think that's extremely unlikely possibly if, if
1130
01:01:24.315 --> 01:01:25.555
construction is much deferred.
1131
01:01:25.995 --> 01:01:27.835
I mean, clearly. So you, you understand
1132
01:01:27.835 --> 01:01:31.275
that the first phase, the New town, it's heavily restricted
1133
01:01:31.455 --> 01:01:35.115
by the absence of additional sewage treatment capacity.
1134
01:01:35.575 --> 01:01:39.515
Um, there is a condition on the planning consent effectively
1135
01:01:39.515 --> 01:01:43.115
that only allows 1600 houses to be constructed, um,
1136
01:01:43.535 --> 01:01:45.675
before additional sewage treatment
1137
01:01:46.265 --> 01:01:47.475
connections are available.
1138
01:01:47.575 --> 01:01:49.995
So clearly we've got a chicken and egg situation here.
1139
01:01:50.575 --> 01:01:54.265
Um, the majority of the remainder
1140
01:01:54.265 --> 01:01:57.185
of the new town isn't going to be built until such time
1141
01:01:57.185 --> 01:02:00.425
as the sewage treatment capacity has been provided,
1142
01:02:01.085 --> 01:02:04.185
and in that context, it seems extremely unlikely there'll be
1143
01:02:04.185 --> 01:02:07.145
a full, a full length haul road available across the
1144
01:02:07.305 --> 01:02:08.425
northern part of the site.
1145
01:02:08.875 --> 01:02:10.385
Thank you. Um,
1146
01:02:11.205 --> 01:02:13.865
and I think the last point, sir, that I was going

1147
01:02:13.865 --> 01:02:18.505
to raise this morning anyway, um, relates to managing
1148
01:02:19.185 - -> 01:02:22.885
the construction traffic through Water Beach, um,
1149
01:02:23.185 --> 01:02:27.405
and consequentially through Clay High than Horing Sea.
1150
01:02:28.025 --> 01:02:31.225
Um, I dunno whether it would be useful, Sarah, if we,
1151
01:02:31.685 --> 01:02:32.945
if you were to go and look at,
1152
01:02:32.945 --> 01:02:37.145
or we were to look at, um, the latest version of part one
1153
01:02:37.145 --> 01:02:40.585
of the transport assessment, um,
1154
01:02:41.435 --> 01:02:43.865
Would you like us to turn up the document?
1155
01:02:44.345 --> 01:02:45.945
I think it would be useful if we did, sir.
1156
01:02:46.085 - -> 01:02:49.785
Um, and it's going to be table seven dash seven
1157
01:02:49.845 --> 01:02:51.505
and table seven dash eight.
1158
01:03:05.555 --> 01:03:06.025
Thank you.

1159
01:03:11.385 --> 01:03:13.995
It's helpful to those. Looking for the pages, um,
1160
01:03:14.505 $\rightarrow$ 01:03:19.285

```
it's page 106 out of 1014, um,
1161
01:03:20.145 --> 01:03:22.445
and it's labeled page 1 0 6.
1162
01:03:56.175 --> 01:03:58.395
Has everybody got that from the applicant's team?
1163
01:04:03.985 --> 01:04:05.965
Mr. Gilda, would you like to make your point on this?
1164
01:04:07.145 --> 01:04:08.205
Yes, I will, sir. I don't know.
1165
01:04:08.385 --> 01:04:11.005
I'm assuming, sir, from what you've said that you've,
1166
01:04:11.005 --> 01:04:13.845
you've got the table, I suspect
1167
01:04:13.845 --> 01:04:15.045
is the thing that matters me.
1168
01:04:15.625 --> 01:04:19.025
Um, and I it comes back so
1169
01:04:19.085 --> 01:04:20.985
to a point I think you were raising
1170
01:04:21.135 --> 01:04:23.745
with the applicant's transport team at an earlier stage,
1171
01:04:23.765 --> 01:04:27.505
and it's, it's a distinction between the numbers
1172
01:04:27.605 --> 01:04:29.905
of vehicle movements that are used for assessment
1173
01:04:30.805 --> 01:04:35.515
and I guess the reality of
```

1174
01:04:35.515 --> 01:04:38.955
what will actually happen on the ground, um, and whether
1175
01:04:39.015 --> 01:04:42.915
or not there are adequate controls on the movements
1176
01:04:43.265 - 01:04:45.155
that are coming going to take place.
1177
01:04:45.625 --> 01:04:47.485
Clearly the assessment
1178
01:04:47.625 --> 01:04:51.525
and Table 7.7, it's clear how the assessment's being done,
1179
01:04:52.285 --> 01:04:56.095
that that assessment predominantly looks at the setting up
1180
01:04:56.115 --> 01:04:59.575
and taking down of, of the pipeline works,
1181
01:04:59.675 --> 01:05:02.615
and we are here looking at, at Water Beach North.
1182
01:05:03.275 --> 01:05:04.555
Um, and
1183
01:05:04.555 --> 01:05:07.555
therefore you'll see that say during the setting up period,
1184
01:05:07.555 --> 01:05:10.835
there's a likely to be between 68
1185
01:05:10.855 --> 01:05:13.155
and 82 HT $V$ movements per day.
1186
01:05:13.735 --> 01:05:18.375
Um, and that assessment has gone on
1187
01:05:18.395 --> 01:05:22.055

```
to assume that they will be spread across the day, um,
1188
01:05:22.235 --> 01:05:23.895
giving you a maximum number of,
1189
01:05:23.995 --> 01:05:27.975
or a assumed number of 10 HGV movements
1190
01:05:28.035 --> 01:05:31.975
during any one hour, obviously in practice, sir.
1191
01:05:32.515 --> 01:05:36.775
Um, that those works will progress from north to south
1192
01:05:37.425 --> 01:05:39.495
broad terms as I understand it.
1193
01:05:39.915 --> 01:05:41.135
Um, and
1194
01:05:41.135 --> 01:05:43.375
therefore the focus of activity will move
1195
01:05:44.495 --> 01:05:47.055
starting in Bal Road and the northern end of Water Beach,
1196
01:05:47.075 --> 01:05:49.935
and then will then predominantly move to the south end
1197
01:05:49.935 --> 01:05:53.255
of the village across Clay Height Bridge to serve the rest
1198
01:05:53.315 --> 01:05:56.175
of that route, um, to the east of the river cam.
1199
01:05:57.995 --> 01:06:00.495
So clearly there will be occasions on which
1200
01:06:02.935 --> 01:06:05.185
significant numbers of vehicles will be
```

```
1201
01:06:05.755 --> 01:06:08.545
using one particular part of the road network and,
1202
01:06:08.605 --> 01:06:12.545
and will be causing disruption or potential disruption.
1203
01:06:13.895 --> 01:06:17.385
That assessment, Sarah, is obviously then gone forward to
1204
01:06:18.295 --> 01:06:20.685
table 7.8, in which
1205
01:06:21.385 --> 01:06:24.525
for the Water Beach pipeline North effectively, um,
1206
01:06:25.765 --> 01:06:29.845
HDV movements have been reallocated outside the peak periods
1207
01:06:30.345 --> 01:06:33.925
or outside the, the restricted periods
1208
01:06:33.925 --> 01:06:36.645
that the applicant appears to be willing to offer, which are
1209
01:06:37.705 --> 01:06:40.005
the morning and evening peak, um,
1210
01:06:41.225 --> 01:06:45.325
and the school, the going to school,
1211
01:06:45.425 --> 01:06:48.685
the coming back from school period, um, three to 4:00 PM
1212
01:06:49.995 --> 01:06:53.895
and that gives us in total potentially as many as 108
1213
01:06:54.535 --> 01:06:56.175
HTV movements through the day.
1214
01:06:57.135 --> 01:07:01.255
```

I think, I think my request to the applicants is, is,
1215
01:07:01.315 --> 01:07:05.535
is clearly sir, that I would like them to make a commitment
1216
01:07:06.365 --> 01:07:10.135
that there will be no more than 10 HGV movements per hour
1217
01:07:10.135 --> 01:07:11.535
during those working hours.
1218
01:07:12.395 --> 01:07:16.415
Um, because I'm aware from in practice that that,
1219
01:07:16.805 --> 01:07:19.735
that isn't written into the CTMP, it's not a,
1220
01:07:20.095 --> 01:07:21.295
a specific commitment.
1221
01:07:21.525 --> 01:07:26.115
Clearly we're looking at some very congested, um, roads
1222
01:07:26.115 --> 01:07:28.795
with a lot of park vehicles, particularly Station Road in,
1223
01:07:28.815 --> 01:07:33.755
in Water Beach, where at present there are a
1224
01:07:33.755 --> 01:07:36.475
very, very small amount of HGV traffic.
1225
01:07:36.865 --> 01:07:40.555
There's certainly, um, the occasional delivery vehicle,
1226
01:07:40.645 --> 01:07:45.075
which is, um, you know, allowed to, to use those roads
1227
01:07:45.075 --> 01:07:47.155
as under access provision.

```
1228
01:07:47.735 --> 01:07:50.995
But in terms of securing an overall commitment,
1229
01:07:51.555 --> 01:07:54.635
I think it would be very satisfactory if the applicants were
1230
01:07:54.635 --> 01:07:59.395
to consider, um, applying an hourly HTV limit if
1231
01:07:59.455 --> 01:08:00.835
of 10 vehicles per hour.
1232
01:08:01.175 --> 01:08:04.395
Um, in this instance, I'm concerned about Station Road,
1233
01:08:04.395 --> 01:08:05.515
but it would be equally true
1234
01:08:05.515 --> 01:08:08.155
of B Road in the earlier stages of development.
1235
01:08:12.155 --> 01:08:13.155
Thank you.
1236
01:08:16.175 --> 01:08:20.725
Could the applicant respond to that point about the number
1 2 3 7
01:08:20.825 --> 01:08:22.925
of vehicles now,
1238
01:08:22.945 --> 01:08:26.315
but also in the context of
1239
01:08:28.095 --> 01:08:32.145
its agreement that it will only now use
1240
01:08:33.015 --> 01:08:34.865
various construction access points
1241
01:08:34.865 --> 01:08:36.985
```

```
between nine 30 and 3:00 PM
1242
01:08:37.325 --> 01:08:38.325
Yes, sir. I'm, I'm
1243
01:08:38.325 --> 01:08:42.025
sure Mr. Wilkes can speak to the tables, um,
1244
01:08:42.325 --> 01:08:44.865
but we will, um,
1245
01:08:45.255 --> 01:08:47.585
respond at written submission stage
1246
01:08:47.585 --> 01:08:52.265
because clearly, um, I, I can't, um, commit
1247
01:08:52.265 --> 01:08:56.545
to my clients to, uh, something further, uh, without us all,
1248
01:08:56.805 --> 01:08:58.425
um, having a chance to consider it
1249
01:08:58.885 --> 01:09:00.265
and take proper instructions.
1250
01:09:00.675 --> 01:09:04.905
Thank you. I think it would be helpful if you do reflect
1251
01:09:04.915 --> 01:09:09.625
those, the new hours, um, not only for yes,
1252
01:09:09.635 --> 01:09:11.305
water Beach, but for the Fen Road,
1253
01:09:11.635 --> 01:09:13.745
Kaley Road County Road area. Sorry. Yeah,
1254
01:09:13.905 --> 01:09:16.025
I I think we'd already made a,
```

1255
01:09:16.225 --> 01:09:19.665
a procedural commitment yes, uh, to do that, but, um,
1256
01:09:19.925 --> 01:09:23.865
but yes, I mean, this illustrates one place where that needs
1257
01:09:23.865 --> 01:09:24.945
to be picked up.
1258
01:09:26.105 --> 01:09:30.625
I raised a similar point in EX Q1 as to whether
1259
01:09:32.125 --> 01:09:35.825
in effect, if you did have hours
1260
01:09:36.355 --> 01:09:40.145
throughout the day where traffic wasn't flowing,
1261
01:09:40.975 --> 01:09:44.545
that would then result in, in effect bunching of vehicles
1262
01:09:44.545 --> 01:09:48.425
because they may wait on site to leave site
1263
01:09:48.645 --> 01:09:51.545
or in the, in the, um,
1264
01:09:52.615 --> 01:09:57.515
the afternoons when you've said you'd have two peak periods
1265
01:09:57.515 --> 01:10:00.275
with no traffic and a period in between, whether
1266
01:10:01.315 --> 01:10:04.795
everyone might wait until then to, to arrive at the site.
1267
01:10:04.855 --> 01:10:08.155
So I think having a little bit more explanation about
1268
01:10:08.295 --> 01:10:11.195

```
how it would work in practice would be helpful.
1269
01:10:11.375 --> 01:10:14.315
And that's probably more in words than numbers.
1270
01:10:22.125 --> 01:10:24.905
Yes, sir. I've noted that down.
1271
01:10:25.365 --> 01:10:28.425
And, uh, we will make sure that we submit
1272
01:10:28.425 --> 01:10:30.105
that at the next stage.
1273
01:10:30.235 --> 01:10:31.305
Thank you. Thank you,
1274
01:10:43.895 --> 01:10:44.895
Mr. Gilda.
1275
01:10:44.895 --> 01:10:45.405
1276
01:10:45.805 --> 01:10:48.155
Thank you, sir. Just, just make a last point,
1277
01:10:48.215 --> 01:10:50.555
and I'm looking here really at County Council
1278
01:10:50.695 --> 01:10:55.075
and that, uh, what I would suggest is a slightly blanket
1279
01:10:55.305 --> 01:10:58.435
request that was made for, um, the nine,
1280
01:10:59.615 --> 01:11:01.115
the nine o'clock till, um,
1281
01:11:01.625 --> 01:11:04.555
Nine 30, Sorry, nine 30 to three o'clock.
```

```
1282
01:11:04.815 --> 01:11:08.915
Um, limitation on HT V movements, um, that seems
1283
01:11:08.915 --> 01:11:09.995
to have been related to,
1284
01:11:10.255 --> 01:11:12.075
to Water Beach Community Primary School.
1285
01:11:12.135 --> 01:11:14.645
Mm-Hmm. Um, which isn't actually on either
1286
01:11:14.705 --> 01:11:17.565
of the construction, either of the construction routes.
1287
01:11:17.825 --> 01:11:20.645
And I, I would ask the county council
1288
01:11:20.865 --> 01:11:23.925
and the applicant to, to both look at that as to whether
1289
01:11:23.925 --> 01:11:25.445
that is an appropriate restriction.
1290
01:11:25.445 --> 01:11:28.805
Clearly there's a question about, um, pedestrians, um,
1291
01:11:29.945 --> 01:11:32.125
who may well use road, um,
1292
01:11:32.125 --> 01:11:33.965
taking their children to and from school.
1293
01:11:34.465 --> 01:11:38.285
Um, but in terms of the issues that are going
1294
01:11:38.285 --> 01:11:42.085
to arise predominantly from HTV movements in,
1295
01:11:42.705 --> 01:11:45.965
```

```
in Water Beach, I think your point, um, is,
1296
01:11:46.025 --> 01:11:48.085
is is a valid one to be taken into account
1297
01:11:48.745 --> 01:11:51.325
if you restrict the, if you restrict the hours
1298
01:11:51.325 --> 01:11:55.285
of operation too much to avoid certain impacts, clearly
1299
01:11:56.065 --> 01:11:59.485
the same volume of HTVs is going to have to use the,
1300
01:12:00.065 --> 01:12:01.605
the limited hours that are left.
1301
01:12:01.985 --> 01:12:04.645
Um, you know, we are going to be looking just on the basis
1302
01:12:04.665 --> 01:12:08.285
of these figures that if you have nine 30 to three o'clock,
1303
01:12:08.785 --> 01:12:12.605
um, and you need to move 108 HTVs, um,
1304
01:12:13.455 --> 01:12:15.675
that's going to give you something close
1305
01:12:15.675 --> 01:12:18.275
to double the 10 per hour that we've been talking about.
1306
01:12:18.815 --> 01:12:22.355
So, I mean, it, I, I recognize it's, you know, it's,
1307
01:12:22.355 --> 01:12:25.715
it's a balance of, of, of matters to be taken into account,
1308
01:12:26.015 --> 01:12:29.795
but I think it's probably more important to maintain a,
```

```
1309
01:12:31.025 --> 01:12:34.405
an overall restriction on the hourly movements, um,
1310
01:12:35.065 --> 01:12:36.235
through Station Road
1311
01:12:36.295 --> 01:12:41.215
and through road, um, rather than a blanket restriction
1312
01:12:41.315 --> 01:12:43.175
to, to nine 30 to three o'clock.
1313
01:12:43.585 --> 01:12:47.455
Thank you. Um, county did provide an explanation in there
1314
01:12:47.705 --> 01:12:49.375
submission for those hours.
1315
01:12:50.315 --> 01:12:52.775
Um, if you have any further comments on that,
1316
01:12:52.775 --> 01:12:54.815
please make I'll by deadline.
1317
01:12:54.885 --> 01:12:58.335
Four. And in relation to the point about
1318
01:12:59.095 --> 01:13:03.135
restricting on an hourly basis, um, I'd be interested
1319
01:13:03.135 --> 01:13:05.575
to hear how you think that would work in practice
1320
01:13:05.715 --> 01:13:09.655
and how the, um, the controls would be affected
1321
01:13:09.755 --> 01:13:10.815
and enforced, please.
1322
01:13:13.395 --> 01:13:15.225
```

Thank you. Let's move on to
1323
01:13:16.765 --> 01:13:18.495
operational phase matters then,
1324
01:13:18.675 --> 01:13:21.375
and um, back to National Highways.
1325
01:13:27.605 --> 01:13:30.645
So there's a number
1326
01:13:30.645 --> 01:13:33.965
of questions outstanding from XQ one.
1327
01:13:34.305 --> 01:13:38.605
Um, first one is question 20.80
1328
01:13:40.895 --> 01:13:43.145
relates to the acceptability of impact
1329
01:13:44.645 --> 01:13:46.025
of operational traffic.
1330
01:13:50.215 --> 01:13:54.305
Cambridge County Council has already responded to this,
1331
01:13:55.725 --> 01:13:58.865
and the examining authorities particularly interested in
1332
01:13:58.865 --> 01:14:03.825
whether National Highways considers the proposed mitigation
1333
01:14:03.945 --> 01:14:06.725
measures could be
1334
01:14:06.725 --> 01:14:09.655
effective and enforceable.
1335
01:14:13.765 --> 01:14:16.265
Sir. Thank you Sarah Marshall for National Highways.

```
1336
01:14:16.765 --> 01:14:18.865
Um, I have just discovered to my horror
1337
01:14:18.895 --> 01:14:21.705
that National Highways, I don't think responded to
1338
01:14:22.665 --> 01:14:25.505
examination question examining authorities question, first
1339
01:14:25.665 --> 01:14:26.665
Question. They didn't. No. So
1340
01:14:26.665 --> 01:14:26.865
1341
01:14:27.045 --> 01:14:29.945
We will, and I've just quietly spoken,
1342
01:14:30.085 --> 01:14:32.425
we will provide a response for deadline four.
1343
01:14:33.125 --> 01:14:36.265
Um, I'm hoping to get something high level through teams,
1344
01:14:36.325 --> 01:14:38.585
but I think you would rather have the detail in
1345
01:14:38.765 --> 01:14:42.185
for deadline four, and we can provide responses to
1346
01:14:42.185 --> 01:14:43.225
that. I think that'll save
1347
01:14:43.785 --> 01:14:45.825
I would that And for which I please,
1348
01:14:45.865 --> 01:14:47.465
I forward my apologies to the panel.
1349
01:14:47.885 --> 01:14:48.945
```

It was not intentional.
1350
01:14:49.025 --> 01:14:50.465
I think it hit the Christmas break
1351
01:14:50.565 --> 01:14:52.025
and, and all the rest of it.
1352
01:14:52.025 --> 01:14:53.225
It's just unfortunate. But
1353
01:14:53.335 --> 01:14:55.745
that will be resolved. The deadline for
1354
01:14:55.995 --> 01:14:56.995
Thank you. And,
1355
01:14:56.995 --> 01:14:58.545
um, that might allow us
1356
01:14:58.545 --> 01:15:00.265
to skip over a number of questions here.
1357
01:15:00.335 --> 01:15:04.585
I've got, um, question 20.82, um,
1358
01:15:04.675 --> 01:15:07.545
which relates to Junction
1359
01:15:07.625 --> 01:15:11.995
35285 related to junction 34.
1360
01:15:12.695 --> 01:15:14.235
Um, that's it for now.
1361
01:15:14.235 --> 01:15:16.035
I think there's a few others down on my list,
1362
01:15:16.175 --> 01:15:18.875
but, um, we presumably they'll be wrapped up with that

```
1363
01:15:19.035 --> 01:15:20.035
Response. No, it would all be
1364
01:15:20.035 --> 01:15:21.835
wrapped up for deadline four.
1365
01:15:21.835 --> 01:15:23.395
We're gonna be rather busy for deadline four,
1366
01:15:23.395 --> 01:15:24.675
but it will all be dealt with for
1367
01:15:24.675 --> 01:15:26.355
that deadline. Thank you, sir. Thank
1368
01:15:26.355 --> 01:15:27.355
You.
1369
01:15:27.415 --> 01:15:31.195
And, um, just please be aware that since
1370
01:15:31.935 --> 01:15:35.595
ex Q1 was issued, there's been, um,
1371
01:15:36.295 --> 01:15:37.715
two deadlines since then
1372
01:15:37.745 --> 01:15:39.635
with additional information submitted.
1373
01:15:39.975 --> 01:15:44.395
So can we ask you to review the most up-to-date documents?
1374
01:15:44.855 --> 01:15:47.075
We will review the, but we will avoid duplication.
1375
01:15:47.215 --> 01:15:51.795
You'll need to match the reference numbers up. Yeah, yeah.
1376
01:15:52.055 --> 01:15:54.835
```

The, the, my colleagues just, um, reminding me
1377
01:15:54.835 --> 01:15:56.715
that the examination library does highlight
1378
01:15:56.715 --> 01:15:58.355
where documents have been superseded.
1379
01:15:59.525 --> 01:16:01.025
Yes, yes. Thank you, sir. Yes,
1380
01:16:01.835 --> 01:16:02.835
Thank you. Let's,
1381
01:16:02.835 --> 01:16:07.185
um, look at, um, traffic impact then.
1382
01:16:07.485 --> 01:16:11.825
And this is, um, back over to the applicant.
1383
01:16:14.375 --> 01:16:16.835
So just before we get into the detail of that,
1384
01:16:16.955 --> 01:16:20.875
I would repeat my plea through you to National Highways,
1385
01:16:21.215 --> 01:16:23.555
please to talk to us, um,
1386
01:16:24.335 --> 01:16:26.355
before they put their response in.
1387
01:16:26.575 --> 01:16:31.235
And hopefully the responses on these important matters can
1388
01:16:31.265 --> 01:16:33.315
also be discussed at that meeting next week.
1389
01:16:33.845 --> 01:16:35.435
Thank you. National Highways.

1390
01:16:37.335 --> 01:16:40.275
Um, hi there, uh, Alice on National Highways.
1391
01:16:40.575 --> 01:16:44.115
Um, not to put, um, it's Taylor in on the spot.
1392
01:16:44.195 --> 01:16:46.395
I have sent over several hours worth

1393
01:16:46.395 --> 01:16:48.195
of options for meetings.
1394
01:16:48.535 --> 01:16:49.795
So hopefully there'll be,
1395
01:16:50.035 --> 01:16:53.555
Yes, everybody relevant here is clearing their diaries.
1396
01:16:54.095 --> 01:16:58.835
Um, but we need to make sure that, uh, things are

1397
01:16:59.355 --> 01:17:01.995
actually discussed productively at these meetings.
1398
01:17:04.265 --> 01:17:08.065
I think the, um, from the examining authorities' point
1399
01:17:08.065 --> 01:17:11.685
of view, the six month window for examination does tend
1400
01:17:11.685 --> 01:17:12.845
to fly by as well.
1401
01:17:13.505 --> 01:17:16.365
Uh, and we are very keen to know National Highway's
1402
01:17:17.085 --> 01:17:18.765
position on all of these matters, particularly
1403
01:17:18.765 --> 01:17:21.245
as you've acknowledged, we haven't had anything
1404
01:17:21.775 --> 01:17:23.125
since the relevant representation.
1405
01:17:23.945 --> 01:17:27.885
So the, um, the more detail the better. Yes. Thank you.
1406
01:17:32.035 --> 01:17:34.815
Should we turn to traffic impacts then?
1407
01:17:35.115 --> 01:17:38.175
And this is, um, set out in
1408
01:17:40.985 --> 01:17:45.725
for the operational phase of table 4.3 of chapter 19
1409
01:17:45.745 --> 01:17:50.125
of the es, which the latest version is rep three dash
1410
01:17:50.315 --> 01:17:51.405
022.

1411
01:17:58.475 --> 01:18:00.475
I don't think we need to to call it up,
1412
01:18:00.575 --> 01:18:05.045
but, um, the point there is
1413
01:18:07.115 --> 01:18:11.645
that you've concluded that there will be driver delay
1414
01:18:11.865 --> 01:18:14.565
and a major cumulative impact at the
1415
01:18:15.605 --> 01:18:17.915
Hoing Sea Road on slip to the A 14.
1416
01:18:18.375 --> 01:18:19.375
Is that correct?

```
1417
01:18:23.945 --> 01:18:25.565
Uh, Gavin works, yes, that's correct.
1418
01:18:25.895 --> 01:18:29.405
Thank you. And um, again, in chapter 19,
1419
01:18:29.545 --> 01:18:33.925
you define peak hours as eight to 9:00 AM three
1420
01:18:33.945 --> 01:18:36.685
to 4:00 PM PM and five to 6:00 PM
1421
01:18:42.735 --> 01:18:44.515
Uh, yeah, Gavin, which yeah, peak hours.
1422
01:18:44.545 --> 01:18:46.275
Yeah, eight till nine and five till six.
1423
01:18:46.375 --> 01:18:48.875
And uh, three till four is identified as the school peak
1424
01:18:49.015 --> 01:18:50.515
for the CTMP purposes.
1425
01:18:51.235 --> 01:18:54.905
Thank you. So is that, should we be making a distinction
1426
01:18:54.905 --> 01:18:56.785
between that and a traffic peak?
1427
01:18:57.965 --> 01:19:00.585
Uh, yes. Traffic peak is eight to nine and five to six.
1428
01:19:01.845 --> 01:19:05.865
Is there any material difference in the, um,
1429
01:19:06.205 --> 01:19:07.585
the school peak and the,
1430
01:19:08.005 --> 01:19:09.745
```

```
the traffic peak? In terms of traffic?
1431
01:19:10.645 --> 01:19:13.025
Uh, the school school peak is lower in terms
1432
01:19:13.025 --> 01:19:14.265
of traffic volume, yes.
1433
01:19:14.285 --> 01:19:15.665
The eight to oh nine, uh,
1434
01:19:15.685 --> 01:19:17.505
is the busiest traffic peak in the morning,
1435
01:19:17.525 --> 01:19:18.705
and the five till six is the
1436
01:19:18.705 --> 01:19:20.105
busiest traffic peak in the evening.
1437
01:19:20.485 --> 01:19:21.345
Can you just move the
1438
01:19:21.345 --> 01:19:23.545
microphone a bit closer to you please? Apologies. Yes,
1439
01:19:23.545 --> 01:19:24.545
Thank you. Just confirm. Yeah,
1440
01:19:24.545 --> 01:19:26.665
it's the eight till nine is the busiest
1441
01:19:26.665 --> 01:19:29.265
traffic peak in the morning, and the five till six is the
1442
01:19:29.265 --> 01:19:30.505
busiest traffic peak in the evening.
1443
01:19:31.485 --> 01:19:35.475
So what's the difference, um, in relative terms
```

```
1444
01:19:35.585 --> 01:19:37.275
between the, the school peak
1445
01:19:37.275 --> 01:19:38.875
and the traffic peak on an afternoon?
1446
01:19:39.735 --> 01:19:40.995
Uh, I'd have to go back
1447
01:19:40.995 --> 01:19:42.075
and check the exact traffic numbers,
1448
01:19:42.095 --> 01:19:45.315
but it's in the region of about 20 to 30% generally, uh,
1449
01:19:45.455 --> 01:19:48.075
the, the off peak, um, uh, traffic.
1450
01:19:49.255 --> 01:19:49.725
Thank you.
1451
01:20:01.255 --> 01:20:04.145
Turning now to ex Q1,
1452
01:20:05.235 --> 01:20:09.745
20.81, which was operational traffic
1453
01:20:12.725 --> 01:20:14.135
outside of the peak hours.
1454
01:20:15.525 --> 01:20:18.505
And we asked you to look at, um,
1455
01:20:20.455 --> 01:20:23.435
the hours before and after the morning peak
1456
01:20:24.535 --> 01:20:27.955
and the hour between the, the two afternoon peaks,
1457
01:20:27.955 --> 01:20:29.755
```

so the school peak and the traffic peak.
1458
01:20:31.745 --> 01:20:33.365
Um, you referred us to
1459
01:20:34.445 --> 01:20:39.035
table nine 14 in the transport assessment part one.
1460
01:20:39.035 --> 01:20:40.355
Should we just turn that up?
1461
01:20:47.485 --> 01:20:52.185
So the TA is now, um, rep three dash 034.
1462
01:21:16.055 --> 01:21:16.345
Yeah,
1463
01:21:54.075 --> 01:21:55.745
Sorry, not, not 419 .
1464
01:21:55.845 --> 01:21:58.665
Um, 914.
1465
01:22:02.375 --> 01:22:07.285
This is referenced in, um, the environmental statement
1466
01:22:07.665 --> 01:22:10.725
and sorry, in the transport assessment part one as well,
1467
01:22:10.865 --> 01:22:14.165
it refers us to table 914
1468
01:22:15.775 --> 01:22:19.965
for the assessment of junction 34 outside
1469
01:22:19.985 --> 01:22:21.285
of PA operation.
1470
01:22:45.075 --> 01:22:46.005
Okay. Have you got that?

1471
01:22:46.995 --> 01:22:47.285
Yeah.
1472
01:22:52.625 --> 01:22:55.515
Okay. On my version it says that this relates
1473
01:22:55.535 --> 01:22:56.555
to peak periods,
1474
01:22:59.755 --> 01:23:00.935
Uh, Gavin Wicks for the applicant.
1475
01:23:00.995 --> 01:23:02.135
Uh, yes, that's correct.
1476
01:23:02.155 $\rightarrow$ 01:23:04.775
To eight till nine and and five till six. Yep. Peak period.
1477
01:23:05.595 $\rightarrow$-> 01:23:09.235
Okay. Um, but in the,
1478
01:23:09.405 --> 01:23:12.915
let's have a look in your response in XQ
1479
01:23:14.565 --> 01:23:18.785
12081, you say the applicant can confirm
1480
01:23:18.785 --> 01:23:20.545
that an analysis of these junctions,
1481
01:23:20.545 --> 01:23:24.985
haunting Sea Road Junction 34, um, for outside
1482
01:23:25.005 --> 01:23:28.545
of peak hour operation is contained in table nine 14
1483
01:23:29.085 --> 01:23:30.945
in the transport assessment part one.
1484
01:23:33.985 $\rightarrow$ 01:23:35.405

```
Uh, yes, Gavin works for the applicant.
1485
01:23:35.465 --> 01:23:38.245
Um, you're quite correct. Um, that was an error on my part.
1486
01:23:38.245 --> 01:23:40.755
It should be table nine 16, which is
1487
01:23:40.755 --> 01:23:42.795
where it shows the out of peak effect.
1488
01:23:44.215 --> 01:23:45.955
So that's page 1 4 9.
1489
01:23:53.905 --> 01:23:57.415
All right. Thank you. Hopefully
1490
01:23:57.415 --> 01:24:00.575
that's wrapped up in the previous action point to make sure
1491
01:24:00.575 --> 01:24:01.615
that this document's correct.
1492
01:24:01.915 --> 01:24:03.175
Yes. Thank you.
1493
01:24:17.875 --> 01:24:20.295
You've also
1494
01:24:20.775 --> 01:24:23.935
provided a comparison at is that nine 15
1495
01:24:31.405 --> 01:24:34.745
and it's a difference between the peak hour,
1496
01:24:35.165 --> 01:24:37.265
the traffic peak hour, and the hour
1497
01:24:37.265 --> 01:24:40.805
before nine 15,
```

```
1498
01:24:43.535 --> 01:24:45.355
Uh, Gavin Wickford applicant. Yes, that's correct.
1499
01:24:46.215 --> 01:24:50.835
Um, just a point of clarification on a 14 off slip
1500
01:24:52.625 --> 01:24:56.705
difference, I make the difference between
1501
01:24:57.725 --> 01:25:01.865
690 and 6 4 9 to be 41,
1502
01:25:02.485 --> 01:25:03.785
not 107.
1503
01:25:05.705 --> 01:25:06.705
Is that correct?
1504
01:25:09.705 --> 01:25:11.605
Uh, yeah, Gavin, which we haven't, yes. Apologies.
1505
01:25:11.865 --> 01:25:12.885
Uh, sir, yeah, you're right.
1506
01:25:12.885 --> 01:25:14.685
That looks like a typo and that will be picked up
1507
01:25:14.685 --> 01:25:17.205
with the changes that I've, uh, already talked about.
1508
01:25:17.905 --> 01:25:20.685
One of my concerns is can we rely on these figures
1509
01:25:20.685 --> 01:25:24.005
because this is, as I said earlier, um,
1510
01:25:24.785 --> 01:25:26.925
not the first iteration of this document,
1511
01:25:27.225 --> 01:25:30.885
```

```
and this is a, um, not the first attempt
1512
01:25:31.065 --> 01:25:32.565
to provide us with information.
1513
01:25:32.585 --> 01:25:33.585
Are these reliable,
1514
01:25:35.415 --> 01:25:36.835
Uh, Kevin Wicks for the applicant?
1515
01:25:36.895 --> 01:25:38.075
Uh, yes, it is reliable.
1516
01:25:38.195 --> 01:25:40.595
I appreciate, we've had a number of iterations on this, um,
1517
01:25:41.055 --> 01:25:42.795
is a substantial document
1518
01:25:42.795 --> 01:25:44.195
and there's been a number of questions
1519
01:25:44.195 --> 01:25:45.755
and a number of tests that we've had to do.
1520
01:25:45.815 --> 01:25:49.475
But, um, uh, I'm the, the document is reliable
1521
01:25:49.495 --> 01:25:52.555
and these are just some minor, minor typographical errors
1522
01:25:52.555 --> 01:25:54.275
where we've been updating the document,
1523
01:25:54.335 --> 01:25:57.235
but I will see to it that we get that updated for the next,
1524
01:25:57.255 --> 01:25:58.875
uh, for the next well,
```

```
1525
01:25:58.875 --> 01:26:02.075
A minor typographical error can have a major consequence.
1526
01:26:03.515 --> 01:26:04.515
Absolutely. I understand that.
1527
01:26:05.215 --> 01:26:09.545
Thank you. Alright, can I, um,
1528
01:26:09.615 --> 01:26:11.465
just understand these figures then.
1529
01:26:11.485 --> 01:26:12.865
If we look at, um,
1530
01:26:15.435 --> 01:26:19.225
table, where are we?
1531
01:26:20.725 --> 01:26:22.725
I think we'll look at tables nine.
1532
01:26:22.725 --> 01:26:24.245
Sorry, I'm just getting my numbers right now.
1533
01:26:24.275 --> 01:26:28.765
9 14, 9 15, and nine 16 of the ta.
1534
01:26:33.605 --> 01:26:37.475
Right. So table 15, for example, in the PM peak,
1535
01:26:38.325 --> 01:26:40.555
which is five to 6:00 PM
1536
01:26:41.845 --> 01:26:44.825
and this is in 2038,
1537
01:26:49.375 --> 01:26:51.245
we've got a PCU figure
1538
01:26:52.385 --> 01:26:56.405
```

```
of, bear with me.
1539
01:26:56.705 --> 01:26:59.245
It is 5 2 6, I believe.
1540
01:27:01.105 --> 01:27:03.225
Mm-Hmm. Do you see that?
1 5 4 1
01:27:03.345 --> 01:27:07.785
A 14 off slip, PCU five to 6:00 PM
1542
01:27:17.135 --> 01:27:18.035
Uh, Gavin Wicks for the
1543
01:27:18.035 --> 01:27:19.195
applicant? Yes, I can that, thank you.
1544
01:27:20.135 --> 01:27:23.435
And then same table for the pre peak I've called it,
1545
01:27:23.565 --> 01:27:27.475
which is the, the next column along is 5 3 1,
1546
01:27:28.215 --> 01:27:32.195
and then it says plus five, plus 1%.
1547
01:27:34.645 --> 01:27:37.825
So the pre peak is higher than the peak.
1548
01:27:41.995 --> 01:27:43.535
Yes. In that instance it is, yes.
1549
01:27:43.945 --> 01:27:47.015
Thank you. Now going back
1550
01:27:47.115 --> 01:27:50.575
to table nine 14 for the PMP,
1551
01:27:52.455 --> 01:27:55.125
again, five to 6:00 PM in 2038.
```

```
1552
01:28:06.885 --> 01:28:07.105
Yep.
1553
01:28:07.525 --> 01:28:10.905
Yes. The queue is, um,
1554
01:28:10.935 --> 01:28:12.585
15.9 PCU
1555
01:28:13.885 --> 01:28:17.785
and a degree of saturation of 90.4%. Yes,
1556
01:28:18.085 --> 01:28:18.585
Yes. Yeah,
1557
01:28:19.535 --> 01:28:22.945
Just keep your thumb in that one and go to table nine 16.
1558
01:28:26.515 --> 01:28:30.725
And let's look at the PM pre peak 4:00 PM
1559
01:28:30.785 --> 01:28:33.045
to 5:00 PM in 2038.
1560
01:28:35.395 --> 01:28:40.355
And the queue is 10.4 PCU with a degree
1561
01:28:40.355 --> 01:28:44.325
of saturation of 65.1%.
1562
01:28:46.175 --> 01:28:47.635
Can you help me to understand why
1563
01:28:48.575 --> 01:28:50.895
the queue is significantly less?
1564
01:28:51.045 --> 01:28:52.935
It's about a third less when
1565
01:28:53.075 --> 01:28:56.255
```

and the degree of saturation when the,
1566
01:28:57.665 --> 01:28:59.045
the figure is actually higher,
1567
01:29:03.865 --> 01:29:06.045
as we've seen in table nine point 15.
1568
01:29:07.525 --> 01:29:09.025
The nu the PCU figure
1569
01:29:09.045 --> 01:29:10.985
for the pre peak is higher than the peak,
1570
01:30:09.205 --> 01:30:10.425
Uh, Gavin makes for the applicant.
1571
01:30:10.525 --> 01:30:14.315
Yes. I think it's the, uh, I'll have to go back
1572
01:30:14.315 --> 01:30:16.475
and just double check, but it's the way that the, that,
1573
01:30:16.785 --> 01:30:19.395
that the traffic is dispersed around the other arms
1574
01:30:19.415 --> 01:30:20.515
of the junction and the way
1575
01:30:20.515 --> 01:30:22.515
that the traffic model looks at the other arms of the,
1576
01:30:22.735 --> 01:30:25.115
the other arms of the junction is which why the, the, the,
1577
01:30:25.215 --> 01:30:27.155
the, the, the flow is different, um,
1578
01:30:27.215 --> 01:30:29.885
and the, the results are different. Um, can

1579
01:30:29.885 --> 01:30:31.325
You just expand on that a little bit
1580
01:30:31.325 --> 01:30:32.525
more in general terms,
1581
01:30:33.525 --> 01:30:37.005
I guess because we are looking at a slightly different
1582
01:30:37.005 --> 01:30:39.925
traffic flow pattern, and the traffic flow is less, um, the,
1583
01:30:39.985 --> 01:30:42.765
uh, the, the other arms of the junction will have, uh,
1584
01:30:42.765 --> 01:30:44.485
will have somehow more traffic gone
1585
01:30:44.595 --> 01:30:45.885
because the, that the, the,
1586
01:30:45.885 --> 01:30:47.045
the way the traffic pattern changes
1587
01:30:47.045 --> 01:30:49.885
and the way that they, the modeling will the,
1588
01:30:49.885 --> 01:30:51.445
the traffic will distribute around the junction.
1589
01:30:51.505 --> 01:30:52.605
The junction results are.
1590
01:30:52.945 --> 01:30:57.885
So that's, um, uh, that's, that's, that's why the, uh,
1591
01:30:57.885 --> 01:31:00.965
that the traffic, the traffic flow is slightly different.
1592
01:31:01.305 --> 01:31:03.365

Uh, and that's why the traffic flow differences are shown.
1593
01:31:03.365 --> 01:31:05.405
And, and the queuing is different. How, how would that
1594
01:31:05.405 --> 01:31:08.885
Work if the, the light phasing
1595
01:31:08.885 --> 01:31:10.205
for example, stays the same?
1596
01:31:10.925 --> 01:31:13.725
'cause we're looking here at, um, the number of
1597
01:31:14.425 --> 01:31:17.565
in effect vehicles in a qr we measured in PCU,
1598
01:31:21.475 --> 01:31:22.475
Uh, yes. I mean the traffic
1599
01:31:22.475 --> 01:31:23.095
flow, uh,
1600
01:31:23.095 --> 01:31:26.065
the traffic signal timings themselves.
1601
01:31:26.465 --> 01:31:28.905
I suppose that the overall traffic timings will change
1602
01:31:29.425 --> 01:31:30.945
relative to the, the number of the, the amount
1603
01:31:30.945 --> 01:31:33.385
of traffic going through, which is why the, the, the, um,
1604
01:31:34.445 --> 01:31:35.785
uh, why the queue changed.
1605
01:31:35.785 --> 01:31:38.025
So there's not always the same amount of green time given

1606
01:31:38.285 --> 01:31:39.785
for a peak period for that arm
1607
01:31:39.785 --> 01:31:41.025
that there is to the off peak.
1608
01:31:41.045 --> 01:31:42.385
So that's why it changes. Can you
1609
01:31:42.385 --> 01:31:44.825
Tell me where I can find that in the, the TA please?
1610
01:31:44.985 --> 01:31:47.985
I, I haven't picked upon that point that there's a change
1611
01:31:48.205 --> 01:31:50.145
to the, the green phases,
1612
01:31:50.525 --> 01:31:53.225
Uh, that will be in the traffic modeling section, uh,
1613
01:31:53.395 --> 01:31:55.745
which is, uh, in the appendix.
1614
01:31:55.745 --> 01:31:57.145
I'll have to dig out the reference. Yes,
1615
01:31:57.145 --> 01:31:58.145
Please.
1616
01:32:04.595 --> 01:32:06.825
Would that be something, uh, useful for me to bring back?
1617
01:32:07.025 --> 01:32:09.885
'cause I can't dig it out in at this point
1618
01:32:09.945 --> 01:32:11.405
and immediately show it to you.
1619
01:32:11.405 --> 01:32:13.405

Is that something that would be useful to respond later?
1620
01:32:14.115 --> 01:32:15.525
Well, I'd like a response, yes.
1621
01:32:15.585 --> 01:32:19.725
If you can't do it now, then that's as I have to take
1622
01:32:19.725 --> 01:32:20.845
that answer, I'm afraid
1623
01:32:21.225 --> 01:32:22.225
That's probably the best thing. So
1624
01:32:22.225 --> 01:32:23.965
I can get the right, I can check the,
1625
01:32:23.965 --> 01:32:25.165
the table and get the right response.
1626
01:32:33.195 --> 01:32:35.375
And there's a, a similar point here.
1627
01:32:35.915 --> 01:32:39.375
Um, I think it would be useful to have
1628
01:32:40.255 --> 01:32:41.895
a full explanation on all of this.
1629
01:32:42.235 --> 01:32:45.455
Um, if we look at table nine 15,
1630
01:32:49.645 --> 01:32:51.225
the ons slip PM peak,
1631
01:32:55.495 --> 01:33:00.085
we've got 742 PCU , so that's table nine 15.
1632
01:33:08.425 --> 01:33:12.845
Yes. And then the PM peak is 699.

1633
01:33:14.265 --> 01:33:16.615
Difference is 43
1634
01:33:16.855 --> 01:33:19.295
between those two minus 5.8\%
1635
01:33:22.365 --> 01:33:27.305
and presumably by the a 14 on slip, we mean traffic
1636
01:33:27.825 --> 01:33:30.465
actually on the on slip that's queuing at the,
1637
01:33:30.645 --> 01:33:31.705
the traffic light there.
1638
01:33:33.735 --> 01:33:36.955
That's the traffic for the, um, traffic that's, yeah.
1639
01:33:36.955 --> 01:33:38.835
Heading onto the A 14 onslaught. Yes.
1640
01:33:40.165 --> 01:33:41.265
Mm. Is that correct?

1641
01:33:41.805 --> 01:33:43.425
Is it heading onto it
1642
01:33:43.445 --> 01:33:46.345
or is it the actual traffic
1643
01:33:46.455 --> 01:33:50.625
that would be queuing at the traffic lights?
1644
01:33:51.435 --> 01:33:52.985
Sorry, yes, that was my fault.
1645
01:33:52.985 --> 01:33:54.465
Yeah, it's queuing at the traffic lights
1646
01:33:54.465 --> 01:33:56.105
to get onto the A 14 onl.
1647
01:33:56.105 --> 01:34:00.905
Yes. Thank you. So let's turn to table nine 14 then
1648
01:34:02.585 --> 01:34:04.645
and PM peak with operation,
1649
01:34:06.955 --> 01:34:09.985
um, southbound right.
1650
01:34:09.985 --> 01:34:11.305
Turn onto on slip,
1651
01:34:17.735 --> 01:34:19.885
we've got 29.3 PCUs
1652
01:34:26.445 --> 01:34:28.465
and, um, table nine 16,
1653
01:34:30.775 --> 01:34:33.775
the PM pre peak for that same turn
1654
01:34:34.595 --> 01:34:38.635
is 7.2 PCU, which is,
1655
01:34:40.625 --> 01:34:43.155
it's just under a quarter of the the peak period.
1656
01:34:46.035 --> 01:34:49.535
So I'd like to, to be able to understand why there's those
1657
01:34:50.635 --> 01:34:54.245
significant differences between the
1658
01:34:55.345 --> 01:34:58.365
peak and the pre peaks when the,
1659
01:34:58.785 --> 01:35:02.565
the relative traffic is, is not of a,

1660
01:35:03.125 --> 01:35:05.285
a similar difference in magnitude.
1661
01:35:06.445 --> 01:35:07.445
Does that make sense?
1662
01:35:28.975 --> 01:35:31.115
Yes. Gavin works for the applicant. Yes. I'm, thank you.
1663
01:35:31.315 --> 01:35:32.755
I think I'm following.
1664
01:35:32.755 --> 01:35:35.935
Oh, yeah, it's, I'm, I think it's probably better responding
1665
01:35:35.935 --> 01:35:37.575
in $a$, in a response post this.
1666
01:35:37.775 --> 01:35:39.175
I think I'm following through what,
1667
01:35:40.685 --> 01:35:41.915
Thank you, What is required,
1668
01:35:41.915 --> 01:35:44.115
but I think it is answered by my previous response, which is
1669
01:35:44.225 --> 01:35:48.585
that, uh, the difference in traffic flow at that point
1670
01:35:48.605 --> 01:35:50.865
and the, and the, the change in green time that's given
1671
01:35:50.865 --> 01:35:52.505
for the modeling and that's why the, the,
1672
01:35:52.505 --> 01:35:53.985
we're seeing the changes that we're seeing.
1673
01:35:54.605 --> 01:35:56.425

```
Um, but I think it's probably better in,
1674
01:35:56.525 --> 01:35:58.025
you know, a follow up
1675
01:35:58.185 --> 01:35:59.185
Response. Thank you. If you could,
1676
01:35:59.185 --> 01:36:01.305
as I I asked earlier, also
1677
01:36:01.855 --> 01:36:02.865
clearly indicate
1678
01:36:02.865 --> 01:36:05.025
where the change in green time is explained.
1679
01:36:05.025 --> 01:36:08.585
Yes. Thank you. Q Now the, um,
1680
01:36:11.785 --> 01:36:14.895
going back to the point I raised earlier about, um,
1681
01:36:16.395 --> 01:36:18.135
the applicant's response to
1682
01:36:19.375 --> 01:36:22.575
question 20.81 in ex Q1
1683
01:36:24.505 --> 01:36:29.135
where you, um, said that the hour nine
1684
01:36:29.135 --> 01:36:31.175
to 10 is not included in the assessment
1685
01:36:31.435 --> 01:36:36.175
and a review of the traffic data identified that seven
1686
01:36:36.195 --> 01:36:39.255
to eight was busier, so only that was tested
```

```
1687
01:36:39.675 --> 01:36:42.895
and that response concluded with
1688
01:36:42.895 --> 01:36:45.855
therefore no further assessment is
1689
01:36:46.055 --> 01:36:47.135
proposed to be undertaken.
1690
01:36:51.715 --> 01:36:53.215
Now we asked that question
1691
01:36:55.025 --> 01:36:58.315
because as Cambridge county council points out,
1692
01:36:58.655 --> 01:37:02.475
and that was its response to ex Q1 2085.
1 6 9 3
01:37:03.305 --> 01:37:07.035
Currently Cambridge does not experience a single peak hour
1694
01:37:07.735 --> 01:37:09.155
in terms of traffic volumes,
1695
01:37:09.175 --> 01:37:12.795
but rather has a peak period covering the three hours from
1696
01:37:12.795 --> 01:37:14.595
seven till 10 in the morning.
1697
01:37:16.115 --> 01:37:19.595
Um, so that request still stands
1698
01:37:20.415 --> 01:37:23.075
to look at those, that that time period.
1699
01:37:23.975 --> 01:37:27.555
Um, whilst you propose not to do any further modeling,
1700
01:37:27.655 --> 01:37:31.025
```

the XA would still like to see the modeling
1701
01:37:31.245 --> 01:37:32.345
for those periods.
1702
01:37:35.965 --> 01:37:39.945
And it would also, um, like that not just the modeling.
1703
01:37:41.415 --> 01:37:43.235
Um, but we'd like some further
1704
01:37:43.235 --> 01:37:44.595
commentary on that as well, please.
1705
01:37:44.815 --> 01:37:49.265
So if we,
1706
01:37:52.665 --> 01:37:55.565
if we go back to those tables we've just looked at,
1707
01:37:56.065 --> 01:38:00.045
and the first example I gave was
1708
01:38:00.745 --> 01:38:04.455
the a 14 off slip, I think this is table
1709
01:38:05.965 --> 01:38:09.415
nine 15 in the, the transport assessment,
1710
01:38:10.055 --> 01:38:12.135
a 14 off slip in the afternoon.
1711
01:38:14.635 --> 01:38:17.485
Yeah. And that's, um,
1712
01:38:19.025 --> 01:38:22.085
1\% greater than in the peak.
1713
01:38:23.525 --> 01:38:28.205
The pre peak period is 1\% greater. Yeah.

1714
01:38:28.745 --> 01:38:32.365
Yes. Then if we look at the
1715
01:38:33.645 --> 01:38:35.285
14 off slip in the morning,
1716
01:38:36.865 --> 01:38:41.135
the pre peak is 6\% less than the peak.
1717
01:38:41.685 --> 01:38:42.685
Yeah.

1718
01:38:48.245 --> 01:38:53.065
Uh, yes. Yes. And a 14 on slip in the afternoon
1719
01:38:54.445 --> 01:38:57.345
is $5.8 \%$ less than the peak.
1720
01:38:58.675 --> 01:38:59.935
Mm-Hmm. Yeah. Yes.
1721
01:39:00.385 --> 01:39:04.775
Thank you. Now, if we look at paragraph 9.5,
1722
01:39:04.775 --> 01:39:08.485
0.54 of the ta,

1723
01:39:12.915 --> 01:39:14.425
which is just before that table,
1724
01:39:24.435 --> 01:39:29.015
it tells us that nine point 14 , this is about halfway
1725
01:39:29.045 --> 01:39:32.455
through the paragraph table nine 14.
1726
01:39:32.475 --> 01:39:34.895
And we, we know about the issues with table numbering
1727
01:39:35.775 --> 01:39:38.535
demonstrates that the hours outside of the a m
1728
01:39:38.535 --> 01:39:41.415
and pm peaks have significantly lower traffic
1729
01:39:41.445 --> 01:39:42.935
volumes compared to the peak hours.
1730
01:39:44.355 --> 01:39:46.695
And then it refers us to nine 15
1731
01:39:46.705 --> 01:39:49.775
where the comparison is set out that we've just looked at.
1732
01:39:54.475 --> 01:39:59.305
Do you think that that holds good for all of those,
1733
01:40:02.765 --> 01:40:04.545
um, parts of the, the junction,
1734
01:40:04.895 --> 01:40:07.585
including the ones we've just looked at,
1735
01:40:07.825 --> 01:40:11.985
where one is 1\% more and the others are about 6\% less?
1736
01:40:12.405 --> 01:40:13.705
Um, is that significant?
1737
01:40:14.765 --> 01:40:17.225
Um, uh, Gavin, which for the applicant, um, yes,
1738
01:40:17.425 --> 01:40:19.465
I can understand the examiner's point.
1739
01:40:19.605 --> 01:40:22.705
Um, I think looking at the other arms, which are 30\%
1740
01:40:22.705 --> 01:40:25.905
and $20 \%$ lower, and then we have the specific arm you pointed

```
1741
01:40:25.945 --> 01:40:28.425
out, which are the on slips are, which are similar
1742
01:40:28.985 --> 01:40:30.385
'cause the percentages aren't that different.
1743
01:40:30.545 --> 01:40:33.505
I think taking as an overall junction performance, which is
1744
01:40:33.605 --> 01:40:35.145
how we try and look at the junction,
1745
01:40:35.245 --> 01:40:37.065
the overall traffic flow through it
1746
01:40:37.065 --> 01:40:39.065
and the performance of the junction, we would, we,
1747
01:40:39.425 --> 01:40:41.265
I still believe it is significantly lower
1748
01:40:41.355 --> 01:40:42.705
taken as taken in the round.
1749
01:40:42.925 --> 01:40:44.265
But I, I take your point
1750
01:40:44.465 --> 01:40:46.025
that those specific arms are very similar,
1751
01:40:47.035 --> 01:40:48.335
But in terms of mitigation,
1752
01:40:48.335 --> 01:40:50.095
we haven't looked at the junction as a whole.
1753
01:40:50.125 --> 01:40:54.325
Have we, the, the impact is on one part of the junction
1754
01:40:54.425 --> 01:40:57.205
```

and that's why you were proposing mitigation.
1755
01:40:57.205 --> 01:40:59.485
Is that correct? And I'm thinking about the
1756
01:40:59.485 --> 01:41:00.645
operational phase here.
1757
01:41:03.075 --> 01:41:04.935
Uh, I don't, I mean, we look at from a,
1758
01:41:05.005 --> 01:41:06.615
from a testing point of view
1759
01:41:06.615 --> 01:41:08.895
and the way that I've analyze, the way we analyze junctions
1760
01:41:08.895 --> 01:41:10.455
because we have to look arm by arm,
1761
01:41:10.755 --> 01:41:13.935
but from a mitigation it is, we, we try
1762
01:41:13.935 --> 01:41:16.095
to look at the junction operation as a whole.
1763
01:41:16.475 --> 01:41:17.735
Um, because the mitigation
1764
01:41:17.845 --> 01:41:20.415
that we set out in the operational logistics plan
1765
01:41:20.415 --> 01:41:23.495
and the operational worker plan again, is to move traffic
1766
01:41:23.955 --> 01:41:26.495
to those for the outside peak periods.
1767
01:41:26.595 --> 01:41:28.615
Should that become, uh, an issue?

1768
01:41:28.775 --> 01:41:31.535
I mean, again, we are looking at the operational phase,
1769
01:41:31.555 --> 01:41:33.255
so this is 2038.
1770
01:41:33.515 --> 01:41:37.225
So we are, you know, we're 14 years in the future.
1771
01:41:37.365 --> 01:41:40.665
So this is based on a, a series of growth predictions
1772
01:41:40.665 --> 01:41:43.785
and growth, sorry, growth forecasts, um, that have our,
1773
01:41:44.005 --> 01:41:46.985
our base junction traffic, uh, higher
1774
01:41:47.245 --> 01:41:49.625
by about $14 \%$ than it already is.
1775
01:41:50.045 --> 01:41:52.745
So it's the junction.
1776
01:41:53.535 --> 01:41:55.385
When we look at the baseline, um, assessment
1777
01:41:55.405 --> 01:41:58.505
of the junction, it's, it's generally at capacity based
1778
01:41:58.505 --> 01:41:59.585
on the current operation.
1779
01:41:59.925 --> 01:42:03.145
So what we've tried to do is set out
1780
01:42:03.695 --> 01:42:06.705
what happens now when the capacity grows as per forecast,
1781
01:42:07.005 --> 01:42:09.705
and what are the potential impacts when we add
1782
01:42:09.815 --> 01:42:11.785
what is a relatively small amount
1783
01:42:11.785 --> 01:42:14.265
of vehicles from the operation of this, of the,
1784
01:42:14.285 --> 01:42:17.385
of the facility, um, and what potentially could happen
1785
01:42:17.485 --> 01:42:19.905
and in, and in that circumstance, should
1786
01:42:19.935 --> 01:42:21.105
that forecast be true
1787
01:42:21.365 --> 01:42:23.385
and should all those vehicles turn up at peak hour?
1788
01:42:23.585 --> 01:42:27.105
'cause again, this assessment is based on if all
1789
01:42:27.185 --> 01:42:28.865
of the heavy goods vehicles
1790
01:42:28.885 --> 01:42:32.825
and if all of the, um, the workforce, uh, traffic arrive
1791
01:42:32.845 --> 01:42:34.305
by car and they'll arrive at the same time,
1792
01:42:34.305 --> 01:42:35.745
this is potentially what could happen.
1793
01:42:35.805 --> 01:42:38.465
So it's, again, it's looking at that reasonable,
1794
01:42:38.465 --> 01:42:42.335
what we determine is a reasonable worst case, um, without,

```
1795
01:42:42.515 --> 01:42:43.615
uh, without mitigation.
1796
01:42:43.635 --> 01:42:47.535
And then, um, and so I think, yeah, I, I, back to the point.
1797
01:42:47.615 --> 01:42:49.615
I think this is a, I think we can determine
1798
01:42:49.615 --> 01:42:50.735
that is sig we think
1799
01:42:50.735 --> 01:42:52.615
that is significantly lower taken in the
1800
01:42:52.615 --> 01:42:53.775
round in terms of mitigation.
1801
01:42:53.995 --> 01:42:55.975
So on, on the junction as a whole,
1802
01:42:59.305 --> 01:43:00.885
the, the significant only applies
1803
01:43:00.885 --> 01:43:01.965
to the junction as a whole.
1804
01:43:06.445 --> 01:43:07.585
Uh, yes. Thank you.
1805
01:43:07.685 --> 01:43:10.665
Can we turn up chapter 19 of the ESN please?
1806
01:43:10.685 --> 01:43:15.625
And let's go to page roman numeral numbering 18.
1807
01:43:54.305 --> 01:43:55.485
So which page, page
1808
01:43:55.765 --> 01:43:57.405
```

18 Roman numeral page 18.
1809
01:43:58.105 --> 01:44:01.125
So $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I}$ in the
1810
01:44:02.305 --> 01:44:04.075
chapter 19 of the Es
1811
01:44:17.855 --> 01:44:19.835
on my version, it's the track changes version.
1812
01:44:19.835 --> 01:44:23.035
It's page 19 of 215 of the PDF.
1813
01:44:34.545 --> 01:44:36.805
Is it possible to Make that a tiny bit larger?
1814
01:44:38.005 --> 01:44:39.485
I think that's the following page.
1815
01:44:43.115 --> 01:44:47.675
X-V-I-I-I three I. Yeah. Next one. So that's it. Thank you.
1816
01:44:48.575 --> 01:44:50.835
And, uh, summary of operational effects
1817
01:44:53.125 --> 01:44:57.295
and we see there third paragraph.
1818
01:44:57.405 --> 01:44:59.295
Despite the addition of a small amount
1819
01:44:59.295 --> 01:45:01.135
of operational traffic relative
1820
01:45:01.135 --> 01:45:03.935
to the total traffic on the surrounding road network,
1821
01:45:05.135 --> 01:45:08.935
a major cumulative effect is identified on driver delay at

```
1822
01:45:08.935 --> 01:45:12.535
the Hoing C road, a 14 on slip junction,
1823
01:45:13.185 --> 01:45:14.975
southbound on Horing zero road,
1824
01:45:15.385 --> 01:45:20.295
right turn in right hand turn into the on slip in the AM
1825
01:45:20.555 --> 01:45:23.335
and pm peak period, which is sign significant.
1826
01:45:24.115 --> 01:45:27.095
Now in the ES you've looked at individual parts
1827
01:45:27.095 --> 01:45:29.015
that junction rather the whole junction.
1828
01:45:29.235 --> 01:45:32.375
So can we just go back to that question please?
1829
01:45:32.845 --> 01:45:36.855
That I posed earlier about whether the difference
1830
01:45:36.855 --> 01:45:38.855
of 1% positive
1831
01:45:38.915 --> 01:45:42.695
or 6% negative, whether that's significant or not.
1832
01:45:51.605 --> 01:45:55.225
Uh, Gavin Wix for the applicant, as I still think this, I,
1833
01:45:55.225 --> 01:45:59.065
yeah, I still think what previously said holds true, uh,
1834
01:45:59.165 --> 01:46:03.025
and that we've identified a potential
1835
01:46:04.305 --> 01:46:07.535
```

major effect on the, on the junction from the,
1836
01:46:07.655 --> 01:46:12.415
I guess from the traffic from driver delay due to, um,
1837
01:46:13.275 --> 01:46:16.295
due to the impacts on those, on those particular arms.
1838
01:46:16.475 --> 01:46:18.815
But I thi I think the mitigation still applies
1839
01:46:18.815 --> 01:46:20.575
for the junction as a whole. So I, I
1840
01:46:20.575 --> 01:46:21.895
Understand that, but could you just
1841
01:46:21.895 --> 01:46:22.975
answer my question please?
1842
01:46:26.005 --> 01:46:27.315
Sorry, could you repeat the question?
1843
01:46:27.315 --> 01:46:32.075
Yeah, the question was whether a difference of, um, $1 \%$,
1844
01:46:32.555 --> 01:46:37.395
a positive $1 \%$ or negative $6 \%$ between the peak
1845
01:46:37.575 --> 01:46:39.355
and the pre peak is significant.
1846
01:46:49.185 --> 01:46:53.315
I sorry, Gavin Wicks for the applicant? Um, yeah.
1847
01:46:53.895 --> 01:46:56.515
Yes, I still think they are well taken in the round.
1848
01:46:56.635 --> 01:46:58.475
I think, I think they are significant with the,

```
1849
01:46:58.475 --> 01:47:01.635
with the junction results, so yes, I think
1850
01:47:01.735 --> 01:47:02.735
So. So when
1851
01:47:02.735 --> 01:47:04.355
we see on that particular arm,
1852
01:47:04.355 --> 01:47:07.715
there'll be 1% more traffic in the pre peak
1853
01:47:08.625 --> 01:47:10.165
that's significantly less,
1854
01:47:10.185 --> 01:47:11.885
as you say in the transport assessment.
1855
01:47:20.615 --> 01:47:22.555
Um, Gavin makes the applicant apologies.
1856
01:47:22.585 --> 01:47:26.115
Yeah, maybe I'm not, I'm not properly communic,
1857
01:47:26.115 --> 01:47:27.235
um, understanding the question.
1858
01:47:27.235 --> 01:47:28.235
Maybe I still,
1859
01:47:29.585 --> 01:47:31.755
Well, let's just go back to the, let's go back
1860
01:47:31.755 --> 01:47:33.315
to the transport assessment where
1861
01:47:34.395 --> 01:47:36.075
I originally raised this point.
1862
01:47:36.225 --> 01:47:39.315
```

It's, it's paragraph and we will break for lunch.
1863
01:47:39.335 --> 01:47:41.875
And I realize it's been a long morning, um,
1864
01:47:42.165 --> 01:47:44.035
after this question, we'll break for lunch.
1865
01:47:44.695 --> 01:47:48.795
Um, paragraph 9.50 .54
1866
01:47:55.255 --> 01:47:56.925
where it says that the,
1867
01:47:57.225 --> 01:48:00.645
in effect the pre peak hours have significantly lower
1868
01:48:00.645 --> 01:48:03.245
traffic volumes compared to the peak hours.
1869
01:48:03.945 --> 01:48:07.365
The point I'm asking you about is whether that holds good
1870
01:48:07.365 --> 01:48:10.885
or not for the junction as a whole is not the point.
1871
01:48:11.885 --> 01:48:15.785
The point relates to these various parts of the junction
1872
01:48:16.645 --> 01:48:19.825
and for example, the a 14 off slip
1873
01:48:20.755 --> 01:48:25.225
where the pre peak traffic is higher than the peak traffic.
1874
01:48:27.775 --> 01:48:31.695
So if we break down the conclusion, would
1875
01:48:31.695 --> 01:48:36.535
that apply the significantly lower, would that apply to all

1876
01:48:36.535 --> 01:48:37.935
of the components of the junction
1877
01:48:40.825 --> 01:48:41.855
Again, makes for the applicant?
1878
01:48:41.855 --> 01:48:44.975
Apologies. Yes. Apologies. Apologies. My misunderstanding.
1879
01:48:45.015 --> 01:48:46.415
I follow the question through now, I suppose.
1880
01:48:46.415 --> 01:48:49.775
Yes, you're right on the arm by arm is not, yes,
1881
01:48:49.795 --> 01:48:52.935
it wouldn't be significantly lower for the $1 \%$ and the $6 \%$.
1882
01:48:53.305 --> 01:48:55.895
Thank you. Okay, well, we'll take that point
1883
01:48:56.035 --> 01:48:58.015
to, to break for lunch.
1884
01:48:58.395 --> 01:49:01.815
Um, can we take 45 minutes? Is that okay?
1885
01:49:03.355 --> 01:49:08.045
Yeah. Okay. So we'll adjourn until 1350.
1886
01:49:08.735 --> 01:49:09.525
Thank you very much.

